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Diabetes mellitus (DM) is one of the major health problems in the world. According to the Diabetes Atlas 2014, 382 million people worldwide, or 8.3% of adults, were estimated to have DM. If these trends continue, by 2035, some 592 million people, or one adult in 10, will have diabetes. This may result in nearly three new cases every 10 s or almost 10 million/y\cite{1}. Almost half of all adults with DM are between the age group of 40 and 59 y. More than 80% of the 184 million people in the world live with DM in this age group in low- and middle-income countries. If this susceptible age group with DM continues to increase, it is expected that the numbers may increase to 264 million by 2035\cite{1}. In India, the prevalence of DM is increasing, which may be attributed to changing lifestyle, sedentary occupation, and irregular food habits and therefore, prevention is the best strategy\cite{2}.

Diabetes of all types can lead to complications and can increase the overall risk of dying prematurely, which include heart attack, stroke, kidney failure, leg amputation, vision loss and nerve damage. A randomized controlled pilot study was carried out for a period of 6 months to assess the impact of pharmacist intervention on blood glucose levels, quality of life, knowledge, attitude and practice in diabetic patients. The diabetic patients were randomized into control and intervention groups, using simple randomization techniques based on age; both the groups were interviewed using (1) knowledge, attitude and practices and (2) WHOQOL-BREF questionnaires. Both the groups were screened for blood glucose levels at baseline and each follow-up. Only the intervention group was given counselling during the study period. The effect of pharmacist intervention on quality of life and knowledge, attitude and practices among control and intervention were statistically analysed by paired t-test using SPSS version 16. Out of the 60 patients, 24 (40%) were males and 36 (60%) were females. In our study, the quality of life score for each domain of both intervention and control groups was found to be non-significant at baseline and for the intervention group, a highly significant improvement (P<0.001) was observed for all domains in the final follow-up. Knowledge, attitude and practice score of intervention group also showed a significant improvement (P<0.001) from baseline to final follow-up. In this study, intervention group showed significant (P<0.001) mean reduction of blood glucose levels from baseline 166.1±66.60 to final follow-up 118.27±11.70. The results showed that significant reduction in blood glucose levels, enhancement in the quality of life and knowledge of patients in intervention group after pharmacist mediated counselling.
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Quality of life (QOL) is a main health outcome in DM patients\cite{2}. Education and behaviour changes are required to manage the conditions and to improve QOL. Lifestyle changes must incorporate careful dietary planning, use of medication, and home blood sugar monitoring techniques for all DM patients\cite{3}. Comorbidity with other diseases associated with DM may influence how a person with DM rate with their QOL. Poor management of DM leads to several complications and end organ damage that ultimately
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impairs the health related quality of life (HRQOL) in the individuals[4].

In health care practice, therapeutic outcomes directly influences the physical, psychological and social domains of health, this will affects the overall HRQOL[5]. Adequate information on DM improves their psychological acceptance and control of disease. Thus, nutrition counselling is an effective measure to bring about favourable and significant changes in the diabetic state[6]. Continuous education programs and counselling should be conducted for diabetic patients to emphasize and re-emphasize the importance of risk factor, prevention, medication and behavioural changes[7]. Overall, it is the pharmacist's role to reduce the disease progression and to prevent the DM complications. Through effective patient counselling, pharmacist can establish an effective therapeutic relationship[8].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A randomized controlled pilot study was conducted for a period of 6 mo between December 2015 and May 2016 in the rural areas of Kumarapalayam, Tamilnadu. Institutional ethical committee approval was obtained before the conduct of study. Patients with DM-2 of both genders, aged between 21-80 y with or without co-morbidities were included in the study. Pregnant, lactating women, paediatric and psychiatric patients were excluded. Sixty patients were enrolled as subjects based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. During each visit, patients fasting blood glucose levels were measured by using a glucometer (Sugarchek, TaiDoc Technology Corporation, New Taipei City, Taiwan).

All the patients enrolled were evaluated for a socio-demographic factor, followed by employment of knowledge, attitude and practice (KAP) and WHO-BREF questionnaire. A pre-validated KAP questionnaire was employed, consisting 25 questions (knowledge-14, attitude-5 and practice-6)[9]. Each correct answer was given score of one while the wrong answer was given zero score. The WHOQOL-BREF questionnaire developed by World Health Organization (WHO), a short form of WHOQOL-100, is a cross-cultural instrument. It contains two items from the Overall QOL and general health and 24 items of satisfaction with rating on a 5-point Likert scale. The 24 items were divided into four domains: physical health with 7 items (DOM1), psychological health with 6 items (DOM2), social relationships with 3 items (DOM3) and environmental health with 8 items (DOM4). Each item of the WHOQOL-BREF was scored from 1 to 5 on a response scale.

Study procedure:

After obtaining patient consent, the demographic data (age, gender, social status, economic status, and diagnosis and drug usage) were collected using a suitable data collection form. A total of 60 patients were randomized into control (n=30) and intervention (n=30) group based on age. The study design was divided into baseline, 1st visit and 2nd visit with a difference of 1 mo between each visit. The baseline demography data, fasting blood sugar (FBS) levels, KAP and QOL scores were obtained from control and intervention group. After incorporation of baseline data, intervention group received patient counselling in local language (1) orally, (2) visually and (3) using patient information leaflets (PIL) between periods of each follow-up. The patients in the controlled group received counselling and PIL only at the end of the study. The blood sugar level, scores of KAP and QOL were obtained for both intervention (after each post counselling session) and control groups at the end of 1st and 2nd visit.

The data gathered was statistically analysed by SPSS, version 16, based on a paired t-test. All ‘P’ values <0.05 were considered significant. Content of counselling was designed as follows: Before 1st follow-up: audio visuals session about general awareness of DM (causes, diagnosis, normal values of blood glucose, complications) in the first 2 w of the first month. Audio visual session and oral counselling on lifestyle modifications, which include diet modification, weight reduction, physical exercise, cessation of risk factors (smoking and alcohol intake) and prevention of complications in the second 2 w of the first month. Before 2nd follow-up: drug compliance (importance of drugs, mode of administration and common side effects) in the first 2 w of the second month. PIL about the disease, dietary plan, management of smoking, weight reduction in second 2 w of second month.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The total sample size studied was 60, of which 24 (40%) were males and 36 (60%) were females (Table 1). Age-wise distribution (Table 1) shows that most of the DM patients were found to be more in the age group ranging between 41-60 y (61.66%) than in 61-80 y group (31.66%). In this study, the distribution of DM was more in primary group (35%) than in the secondary (33%), illiterate (22%) and graduate (10%) group (Table 1).
Percent body mass index (BMI) of DM patients is shown in Table 2, where the control and the intervention groups were categorized as underweight, normal weight, overweight and obese. In addition, the number of patients with lack of physical activity was found to be identical in both the groups 22 (73.33%, Table 2). The major co-morbidities of the study population, along with type 2 DM was hypertension 17 (28.33%), followed by hypertension with hyperlipidaemia 3 (5%) and hypertension with gastric ulcer 2 (3.33%, Table 3). The distribution of antidiabetic drugs in study population was presented in Table 4. The mean reduction in blood glucose levels from baseline (166.1±66.60) to final follow-up (118.27±11.70) in intervention group was found to be highly significant when compared to control group (Table 5).

Scores of intervention group from baseline to second follow-up (Table 6) revealed that the mean increases in physical health from 11.43±1.305 to 14.15±1.358 was statistically significant (P≤0.001) in comparison to the control group, where the score from baseline to second follow-up (11.57±1.357 to 11.90±1.709) was statistically insignificant.

Scores of intervention group from baseline to second follow-up (Table 6) showed that the mean increases in psychological health from 11.03±1.564 to 13.63±1.402 was clinically significant (P<0.001) in comparison to the control group, where the score from baseline to second follow-up (11.37±1.351 to 11.70±1.512) was statistically insignificant.

Scores of intervention group from baseline to second follow-up (Table 6) showed that the mean increases in social relationship from 11.97±4.072 to 13.43±2.300 was clinically significant (P<0.001) in comparison to the control group, where the score from baseline to second follow-up (11.23±2.515 to 11.23±2.445) was statistically insignificant.

Scores of intervention group from baseline to second follow-up (Table 6) revealed that the mean increases in environmental health from 11.87±1.907 to 14.40±1.925 was clinically significant (P<0.001) in comparison to the control group, where the score from baseline to second follow-up (11.63±1.810 to 11.90±1.807) was statistically insignificant.

Scores of intervention group from baseline to second follow-up (Table 7) revealed that the mean increases in knowledge from 8.17±2.245 to 15.53±0.90 was clinically significant (P<0.001) in comparison to the control group, where the score from baseline to second follow-up (7.63±2.297 to 8.30±2.168) was statistically insignificant.

Scores of intervention group from baseline to second follow-up (Table 7) showed that the mean increases in attitude from 3.13±1.106 to 5.37±1.098 was clinically significant (P<0.001) in comparison to the control group.
The randomized controlled pilot study was focused on the impact of patient counselling and education, offered by pharmacist on patients’ KAP and QOL. DM has a huge impact on QOL, which serves to measure the importance of health outcomes in chronic disorders. This study results showed how physical, psychological, social, environmental, and general health were affected in DM-2 patients. More than half of the subjects in this study were females (60%). This study was similar to the study conducted among the adults in Indonesia[10]. The majority of the DM-2 patients in this study were in the age group between 41-60 y. About 50% of adults in the world with diabetes were between the ages of 40 and 59 y in 2014[1]. Several studies had reported that,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Control (n=30) FBS</th>
<th>Intervention (n=30) FBS</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>158.87±67.70</td>
<td>166.1±66.60</td>
<td>0.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Follow up</td>
<td>160.37±49.84</td>
<td>134.77±23.07</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Follow up</td>
<td>167.6±43.31</td>
<td>118.27±11.70</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P value stands for calculated probability of findings observed. *P<0.001 significant; SD is standard deviation for n=60

**TABLE 6: QUALITY OF LIFE SCORE OF DIABETIC PATIENTS IN CONTROL AND INTERVENTION GROUP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Control (mean±SD)</th>
<th>Intervention (mean±SD)</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Domain 1 (Physical health)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>11.57±1.35</td>
<td>11.43±1.30</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st follow up</td>
<td>11.70±1.44</td>
<td>13.10±1.15</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd follow up</td>
<td>11.90±1.70</td>
<td>14.15±1.35</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Domain 2 (Psychological) |                   |                        |         |         |
| Baseline       | 11.37±1.35        | 11.03±1.56             | 0.85    | 0.400   |
| 1st follow up  | 11.63±1.29        | 12.67±1.09             | 3.16    | 0.004*  |
| 2nd follow up  | 11.70±1.51        | 13.63±1.40             | 5.04    | 0.000*  |

Domain 3 (Social relationship) |                   |                        |         |         |
| Baseline       | 11.23±2.51        | 11.97±4.07             | 0.82    | 0.419   |
| 1st follow-up  | 11.40±2.55        | 12.50±1.79             | 2.06    | 0.048   |
| 2nd follow up  | 11.23±2.44        | 13.43±2.30             | 3.65    | 0.001*  |

Domain 4 (Environment) |                   |                        |         |         |
| Baseline       | 11.63±1.81        | 11.87±1.90             | 0.48    | 0.633   |
| 1st follow up  | 11.77±1.79        | 13.50±1.75             | 3.83    | 0.001*  |
| 2nd follow up  | 11.90±1.80        | 14.40±1.92             | 5.09    | 0.000*  |

QOL stands for quality of life and SD is standard deviation for n=60. P value stands for calculated probability of findings observed. *P<0.001 significant; t-value is test statistic

group, where the score from baseline to second follow-up (2.77±1.251 to 2.80±1.243) was statistically insignificant.
the age groups between 41-60 y are more prone to be DM\textsuperscript{[6,11,12]}. It has been reported that insulin secretion gradually reduces at a rate of nearly 0.7% per year with ageing. Ageing has no impact on insulin sensitivity independent of changes in body composition. Age-related decrease in insulin production results from changes in β-cell mass and/or function\textsuperscript{[13]}.

In this study, most of the DM-2 patients were found to have primary education. Patients were also found to have a very poor knowledge towards DM\textsuperscript{[11]}; which probably accounted for poor self-management skills by the patients\textsuperscript{[14]}. Appropriate educational approach and follow-up have to be employed to promote self-management behaviours and QOL of DM-2 patients\textsuperscript{[14]}.

Hypertension and hyperlipidaemia were most common comorbidities observed among the subjects. Hyperlipidaemia is the most common comorbidity of DM and it predisposes them to premature atherosclerosis and macrovascular complications\textsuperscript{[15]}. In insulin-resistant states, reduction in endothelial cell lipoprotein lipase activity results in damage to endothelial cells. Hyperglycemia activates protein kinase C in endothelial cells, which increases production of vasoconstrictor prostaglandins, endo-thelia and angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE), and platelet and vascular growth factors, which enhance vasomotor reactivity and vascular remodelling and growth\textsuperscript{[16]}. In this study, most of the DM-2 patients were found to be without any sort of physical activity. Physical activity improves the utilization of blood sugar levels in diabetic patients. Engaging in vigorous exercise, once a week, had been reported to lower incidence of self-reported type 2 DM than women who did not exercise weekly\textsuperscript{[17]}. Leisure-time physical activity and other physical activities are also associated with a reduction in risk of diabetes\textsuperscript{[18]}. In the study population, 10% had not taken any drugs in addition to antidiabetic drugs.

In this study, there was a gradual reduction in FBS levels in the intervention group. Several studies have reported that patient counselling and lifestyle modification improves the patient knowledge about such diseases, QOL and also reduces the blood sugar level\textsuperscript{[17,19,20]}. There was an improvement in QOL score in the intervention group. Pharmacist intervention helped in better controlling of diabetes and improved the QOL\textsuperscript{[14]}.

KAP scores of the DM-2 patients were poor at the baseline due to lack of patient education about diseases, drugs and lifestyle modification. The KAP scores increased at 1\textsuperscript{st} and 2\textsuperscript{nd} follow-up. Teaching diabetic patients about their illness is imperative and vital, because the success of the DM treatment depends on lifestyle modifications along with drug therapy\textsuperscript{[9]}. Lifestyle changes must incorporate careful dietary planning, use of medication, and home blood sugar monitoring techniques for all diabetic patients\textsuperscript{[21]}. The results of the study showed significant reduction in FBS levels from baseline to final follow-up through effective patient counselling. This study also revealed a significant enhancement in the QOL of patients following pharmacist-mediated counselling since there was an improvement in QOL score from baseline to final follow-up. The applied health education was an effective tool that implicated a significant change in patients’ KAP towards different aspects of DM. Appropriate medication management, targeting glycaemic control, hypertension, and lipid management were important for reducing morbidity and mortality, and improving long-term QOL for patients diagnosed with DM.
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**TABLE 7: KAP SCORE OF DIABETIC PATIENTS IN CONTROL AND INTERVENTION GROUP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KAP</th>
<th>Control (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Intervention (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Knowledge</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>7.63±2.29</td>
<td>8.17±2.24</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1\textsuperscript{st} follow up</td>
<td>8.17±2.26</td>
<td>12.50±1.48</td>
<td>8.52</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\textsuperscript{nd} follow up</td>
<td>8.30±2.16</td>
<td>15.53±0.90</td>
<td>17.11</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attitude/practice</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>2.77±1.25</td>
<td>3.13±1.10</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1\textsuperscript{st} follow up</td>
<td>2.77±1.22</td>
<td>4.37±1.15</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2\textsuperscript{nd} follow up</td>
<td>2.80±1.24</td>
<td>5.37±1.09</td>
<td>8.29</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KAP stands for knowledge, attitude and practice and SD is standard deviation for n=60. P value stands for calculated probability of findings observed. *P<0.001 significant; t-value is test statistic.
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