Development and Validation of a Liquid Chromatographic Method for Estimation of Dicyclomine Hydrochloride, Mefenamic Acid and Paracetamol in Tablets
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Dicyclomine hydrochloride (DIC) is \([1,1'-\text{bicyclohexyl}-1- \text{carboxylic acid} 2-(\text{diethylamino}) \text{ethyl ester having empirical formula} \; C_{19}H_{35}NO_2 \cdot \text{HCl with a molecular weight of 345.96. DIC is an anticholinergic and antispasmodic drug, a medication that reduces the effect of acetylcholine by blocking the cholinergic receptors on the smooth muscle. It also has a direct relaxing effect on smooth muscle. Mefenamic acid (MEF), 2-[(2,3-dimethyl-phenyl) amino] benzoic acid has the empirical formula \(C_{15}H_{15}NO_2\) with a molecular weight of 241.28. MEF is a nonsteroidal antiinflammatory, analgesic and antipyretic drug used to treat pain, including menstrual pain. It inhibits the enzymes cyclooxygenase COX-1 and COX-2 to reduce the formation of prostaglandins and leukotrienes. Paracetamol (PCM) is 4'-hydroxyacetanilide having empirical formula \(C_{8}H_{9}NO_2\) with molecular weight 151.16. PCM is a popular analgesic and antipyretic drug that is used for the relief of fever, headaches, other minor aches and pains. It acts primarily in the CNS, increasing the pain threshold by inhibiting both isoforms of cyclooxygenase, COX-1 and COX-2, enzymes involved in prostaglandin (PG) synthesis[1,2].

The combined dosage form is used to treat a certain type of intestinal problem called irritable bowel syndrome. It helps to reduce the symptoms of stomach and intestinal cramping. This medication works by slowing the natural movements of the gut and by relaxing the muscles in the stomach and intestines. This combination is highly effective and used in the treatment of spasmodic dysmenorrhoea, intestinal colic, biliary colic, ureteric colic[3].

A literature survey regarding quantitative analysis of these drugs revealed that attempts have been made to develop analytical methods for the estimation of dicyclomine alone and in combination with other drugs by liquid chromatographic method[4], HPTLC methods[5-8] and spectrophotometric method[9]. For the estimation of mfenamic acid alone and
in combination with other drugs various liquid chromatographic methods\textsuperscript{[10-14]} and spectrophotometric methods\textsuperscript{[15-21]} methods have been reported. Different analytical methods have been reported for the estimation of paracetamol alone and in combination with other drugs like spectrophotometry\textsuperscript{[22-26]}, liquid chromatography\textsuperscript{[27-37]} and HPTLC\textsuperscript{[38-40]}. An RP-HPLC method\textsuperscript{[41]} has recently been reported for the estimation of this drug combination. Present study involves development of a sensitive liquid chromatographic method for the estimation of DIC, MEF and PCM in tablet dosage form compared to reported method.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Analytically pure DIC and MEF were obtained as gift samples from Balaji Laboratory limited, Mumbai, India and PCM was obtained as gift sample from Zydus Cadila Ltd., Ahmedabad, India, respectively. HPLC grade acetonitrile and water were obtained from SRL Ltd., Mumbai, India. Potassium dihydrogen phosphate and orthophosphoric acid were of analytical reagent grade obtained from S. D. Fine Chem Ltd., Mumbai. Marketed tablet formulation A (Cyclopam plus, Indoco Remedies, India) and B (Trigan MF, Cadila Pharmaceuticals Ltd., India) containing labeled amount of 20 mg of dicloymine, 250 mg of mefenamic acid and 500 mg of paracetamol were procured from the market. The liquid chromatographic system consist of PerkinElmer series 200 LC (Shelton, USA) equipped with a series 200 UV detector, series 200 quaternary gradient pump and manual injector rheodyne valve with 20 µl fixed loop. The analytes were monitored at 220 nm. Chromatographic analysis was performed on a Brownlee C18 column having 250×4.6 mm i.d. and 5-µm particle size. All the drugs and chemicals were weighed on Shimadzu electronic balance (AX200, Shimadzu Corp., Japan). The mobile phase was degassed by ultrasonic vibrations prior to use. All determinations were performed at ambient temperature.

**Chromatographic conditions:**
The Brownlee C18 column was equilibrated with the mobile phase, acetonitrile:20 mM potassium dihydrogen phosphate 70:30 (v/v); pH 4. The flow rate was maintained at 1 ml/min. Eluent were monitored with UV detector at 220 nm, and the injection volume was 20 µl. Total run time was kept 12 min.

**Preparation of standard stock solutions:**
DIC, MEF and PCM were weighed (10 mg each) and transferred to three separate 10 ml volumetric flasks and dissolved in few milliliters of mobile phase. Volumes were made up to the mark with mobile phase to yield a solution containing 1000 µg/ml of each drug. Aliquot from the stock solutions of DIC, MEF and PCM were appropriately diluted with mobile phase to obtain working standard of 100 µg/ml of DIC, MEF and PCM, respectively.

**Method validation:**
The method was validated for accuracy, precision, linearity, detection limit, quantitation limit and robustness. Linearity was ascertained by taking appropriate aliquots of DIC, MEF and PCM working standard solutions in different 10 ml volumetric flasks and diluted up to the mark with mobile phase to obtain final concentrations of 10, 30, 50, 70, 100 µg/ml of DIC, 0.05, 0.25, 1, 5, 10 µg/ml of MEF, 0.1, 0.5, 2, 10, 20 µg/ml of PCM, respectively. The solutions were injected using a 20 µl fixed loop system and chromatograms were recorded. Calibration curves were constructed by plotting average peak area versus concentrations and regression equations were computed for all the drugs.

Repeatability studies were carried out by estimating response of DIC (50 µg/ml), MEF (1 µg/ml) and PCM (2 µg/ml) six times and results are reported in terms of relative standard deviation. The intra-day and inter-day precision studies (intermediate precision) were carried out by estimating the corresponding responses 3 times on the same day and on 3 different days for three different concentrations of DIC (30, 50, 100 µg/ml), MEF (0.25, 1, 10 µg/ml) and PCM (0.5, 2, 20 µg/ml) and the results are reported in terms of relative standard deviation.

Accuracy of the developed method was determined by method of standard additions. Known amount of DIC (0, 15, 30, 45 µg/ml), MEF (0, 1.25, 2.5, 5 µg/ml) and PCM (0, 2.5, 5, 7.5 µg/ml) were added to a pre quantified sample solution, and the amount of DIC, MEF and PCM were estimated by measuring the peak areas and by fitting these values to the straight-line equation of calibration curve.

The limit of detection (LOD) is defined as the lowest concentration of an analyte that can reliably be differentiated from background levels. Limit of
quantification (LOQ) of an individual analytical procedure is the lowest amount of analyte that can be quantitatively determined with suitable precision and accuracy. LOD and LOQ were calculated using following Eqsns. as per ICH guidelines, LOD=3.3×σ/S and LOQ=10×σ/S, where σ is the standard deviation of y-intercepts of regression lines and S is the slope of the calibration curve.

Robustness was studied by evaluating the effect of small but deliberate variations in the chromatographic conditions. The conditions studied were flow rate (altered by ±0.2 ml/min) and percentage of organic phase. Stability of sample solutions were studied at 25±2° for 24 h. System suitability test was an integral part of the method development to verify that the system is adequate for the analysis of DIC, MEF and PCM to be performed. System suitability test of the chromatography system was performed before validation of the method. Five replicate injections of same concentration (50 µg/ml of DIC, 1 µg/ml of MEF, 2 µg/ml of PCM) of system suitability standards and one injection of a check standard were made. Area, retention time (RT), asymmetry factor, and theoretical plates for the five suitability injections were determined.

**Analysis of marketed formulation:**
Twenty tablets were weighed accurately and finely powdered. Tablet powder equivalent to 20 mg DIC (250 mg of MEF and 500 mg of PCM) was taken in 100 ml volumetric flask. Methanol (50 ml) was added to the above flask and the flask was sonicated for 15 min. The solution was filtered using Whatman filter paper No. 41 and volume was made up to the mark with the mobile phase. Appropriate volume of the aliquot was transferred to a 10 ml volumetric flask and the volume was made up to the mark with the mobile phase to obtain a solution containing 30 µg/ml of DIC. This solution was used for the estimation of DIC. The solution is further diluted with mobile phase to obtain 2.5 µg/ml MEF and 5 µg/ml of PCM, respectively. Both the solutions were sonicated for 10 min. Solutions were injected as per the above chromatographic conditions and peak areas were recorded. The quantifications were carried out by keeping these values to the straight line equation of calibration curve.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The objective of the method development was to resolve chromatographic peaks for active drug ingredients with less asymmetric factor. The mobile phase acetonitrile:20 mM potassium dihydrogen phosphate (70:30 v/v) adjusted to pH 4 using orthophosphoric acid was found to be satisfactory which gave three symmetric and well-resolved peaks for DIC, MEF and PCM. The retention times of DIC, MEF and PCM were 3.8, 9.3 and 2.5 min, respectively (fig. 1). The resolution between DIC, MEF and PCM was found to be more than 2, which indicates good separation of all the compounds. The asymmetric factors for DIC, MEF and PCM were 1.36, 1.14, 1.44, respectively. The mobile phase flow rate was maintained at 1 ml/min. Overlaid UV spectra of both the drugs showed that DIC, MEF and PCM absorbed appreciably at 220 nm, so detection was carried out at 220 nm.

![Chromatogram of standard PCM, DIC and MEF. Chromatogram of standard solutions of paracetamol (PCM, 2.5 min) dicyclomine (DIC, 3.8 min) and mafenamic acid (MEF, 9.3 min) obtained in mobile phase.](image)
Linearity was evaluated by analysis of working standard solutions of DIC, MEF and PCM of five different concentrations and the method was found to be linear in the range of 10–100 µg/ml for DIC, 0.05–10 µg/ml for MEF and 0.1–20 µg/ml for PCM, respectively. The regression data obtained are represented in Table 1. Instrument precision was determined by performing injection repeatability test and the relative standard deviation values for DIC, MEF and PCM were found. The intra-day and inter-day precision studies were carried out for three concentrations of DIC, MEF and PCM and the results are reported in Table 2. The accuracy of the method was determined by calculating recoveries of DIC, MEF and PCM by method of standard addition. Recoveries were found to be 97.83–99.26, 98.98–99.53 and 99.79–100.16% for DIC, MEF and PCM, respectively (Table 2). Recovery studies were performed in triplicate. The LOQ for DIC, MEF and PCM were found to be 10, 0.05 and 0.1 µg/ml respectively. The LOD for DIC, MEF and PCM were found to be 3, 0.0125 and 0.033 µg/ml respectively (Table 2). Robustness study was performed by deliberately changing the experimental conditions like flow rate from 1 ml/min to 0.8 ml/min and 1.2 ml/min. The composition of mobile phase was changed varying the proportion of acetonitrile by 5%. In both the conditions the recovery of all the drugs were determined and the RSD was found to be less than 2%. Solution stability of DIC, MEF and PCM were evaluated at room temperature for 24 h. All the drugs were found to be stable with a recovery of more than 98%. System suitability parameters such as the number of theoretical plates, resolution, and peak asymmetry were determined and reported in Table 2. The proposed method was successfully applied to the determination of DIC, MEF and PCM in their combined dosage form. The % recovery was found to be respectively, for DIC, MEF and PCM, which were comparable with the corresponding labeled amounts (Table 3).

Proposed study describes LC method for the estimation of DIC, MEF and PCM combination in mixture. The method was validated and found to be simple, sensitive, accurate and precise. Compared to reported RP-HPLC method (Lokhande et al. 2012)\(^4\), the developed method is more sensitive. In reported method, the linearity was found to be in the range of 2000-4500 µg/ml for DIC, 25-150 µg/ml for MEF and 50-300 µg/ml for PCM, respectively while the linearity in developed method was found to be in the range of 10-100 µg/ml for DIC, 0.05-10 µg/ml for MEF and 0.1-20 µg/ml for PCM. The developed method contains simple mobile phase compared to reported method Statistical comparision of reported and developed method was carried out by F-test. F calculated value (2.34) was less than F tabulated value (19), which indicates that there is no statistical significance difference (95% confidence interval) between two methods. Study proved that method was repeatable and selective for the analysis of DIC, MEF and PCM.

### Table 1: Regression Analysis of Calibration Curve

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>DIC</th>
<th>MEF</th>
<th>PCM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Linearity range (µg/ml)</td>
<td>10-100</td>
<td>0.05-10</td>
<td>0.1-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient (r)</td>
<td>0.9966</td>
<td>0.9957</td>
<td>0.9977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>683.8</td>
<td>144986.2</td>
<td>43065.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation of slope</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>927.4</td>
<td>204.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>732.9</td>
<td>34778</td>
<td>26645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation of intercept</td>
<td>169.4</td>
<td>1562.4</td>
<td>404.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DIC: Dicyclomine hydrochloride, MEF: mefenamic acid, PCM: paracetamol

### Table 2: Summary of Validation and System Suitability Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>DIC</th>
<th>MEF</th>
<th>PCM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Retention time (min)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theoretical plates</td>
<td>5600</td>
<td>5898</td>
<td>6340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak asymmetry</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection limit (µg/ml)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0125</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitation limit (µg/ml)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery (%)</td>
<td>97.83-99.26</td>
<td>98.98-99.53</td>
<td>99.79-100.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision (RSD, %)</td>
<td>0.63-1.22</td>
<td>0.62-0.92</td>
<td>0.75-0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-day precision (n=3)</td>
<td>1.45-1.71</td>
<td>1.07-1.28</td>
<td>1.29-1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inter-day precision (n=3)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RSD is relative standard deviation and ‘n’ is number of determinations.

DIC: Dicyclomine hydrochloride, MEF: mefenamic acid, PCM: paracetamol

### Table 3: Analysis of Marketed Formulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulations</th>
<th>Amount claim (mg)</th>
<th>Amount found (mg)</th>
<th>Drug content (%)</th>
<th>% RSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formulation A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicyclomine</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19.94</td>
<td>99.71</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mefenamic acid</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>249.95</td>
<td>99.98</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracetamol</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>499.95</td>
<td>99.99</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formulation B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicyclomine</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19.96</td>
<td>99.81</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mefenamic acid</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>249.07</td>
<td>99.63</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracetamol</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>498.95</td>
<td>99.79</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tablet formulation A and B is Cyclopam plus (Indoco Remedies) and Trigan MF (Cadila Pharmaceuticals), respectively. RSD: relative standard deviation.
PCM in combination without any interference from the excipients. The method was successfully used for determination of drugs in their pharmaceutical formulations.
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