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Xanthine oxidase (XO) is cytosolic enzyme that is widely distributed among species (from bacteria to man) and within various tissues of mammals. XO belongs to the group of enzymes collectively known as the molybdenum iron-sulfur flavin hydroxylases. XO catalyzes the hydroxylation of purines, and in particular, hypoxanthine to xanthine and then to uric acid. Uric acid has been implicated to contribute to the antioxidant capacity of the blood. XO catalyzed oxidation involves molecular oxygen as the physiological electron acceptor. XO is an important source of oxygen free radicals since it catalyzes the reduction of O₂ and H₂O leading to the formation of superoxide (O₂⁻) and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and has thus been proposed as being part of the central mechanism of oxidative injury in some situations. With regard to drug metabolism, XO is an important Phase I oxidative enzyme that contributes to the metabolism of heterocyclic structures that possess an electropositive carbon centre (generally C adjacent to a heteroatom like N, S, or O). Protocols for XO enzyme activity extraction have been reported from liver tissue and body fluids such as milk.

Our laboratory has been involved in the establishment of both enzyme fraction isolation protocols and enzyme assay protocols for various important drug metabolizing enzymes. The present study was initiated for isolation of crude fraction of XO from various animal species and determination of kinetic parameters of XO for substrate xanthine by both spectrophotometric and HPLC methods.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal livers were obtained from the Department of Pharmacology, Bombay College of Pharmacy, Mumbai. The animals and the strains used were as follows: Rat (Charles Foster), mouse (Swiss Albino), guinea pig (Albino), and rabbit (New Zealand). The animals used in this study were those that were sacrificed as part of other experiments approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee. It should be noted that the potential of these experiments to alter liver function (and consequently XO content) although a possibility, was not taken into consideration since the intention of this study was to only evaluate the XO isolation procedure and XO activity determination. The livers obtained were either used fresh or stored at -70°C until use. Trizma base was purchased from Sigma Chemical Co., USA. Potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate, dipotassium hydrogen orthophosphate and EDTA were purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford's macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from S. D. Fine Chem. Ltd., Mumbai. Xanthine (for biochemistry use) was from CDH Laboratory, New Delhi. Bradford’s macro method protein estimation kit was purchased from Bangalore Genie Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore. Zinc sulphate was purchased from Merck Chemicals Ltd., Mumbai and ammonium sulphate and uric acid were purchased from Himedia Lab., Mumbai. All other chemicals and reagents used in the study were of AR grade.
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Isolation of xanthine oxidase fractions:
Crude fractions of XO were isolated from animal livers by previously reported procedures. Briefly, 10 g of liver was homogenized in 5 volumes of 0.01 M Tris-HCL buffer, pH 8.0, containing 1 mM EDTA for 5 min. in a Potter glass homogenizer equipped with a Teflon pestle. The homogenate was then rapidly heated to 55° on a water bath, maintained at this temperature for 5 min and then cooled quickly to below 10° in an ice bath. During both the heating and cooling steps the homogenate was stirred. The heat-treated and cooled homogenate was centrifuged at 16 000×g for 15 min. and the precipitate discarded. Solid ammonium sulphate was added to the supernatant to a final concentration of 30% saturation (18.78 g/100 ml), the mixture centrifuged at 16 000×g for 15 min. and the precipitate discarded. XO in the supernatant was precipitated by addition of solid ammonium sulphate to a final concentration of 60% saturation (37.56 g/100 ml). After centrifugation at 16 000×g for 15 min, the pellet was suspended in 10 ml of 0.05 M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.5, containing 0.3 mM EDTA and stored at -70° for further use. Three different samples of XO fractions were obtained from different liver samples of each animal and all of the subsequent estimations were done in duplicate for each of the three isolated XO fractions per animal.

Enzyme assay:
XO activity assay was performed according to the reported method. A Shimadzu spectrophotometer (UV-160 A) with matched 10 mm cuvettes were used for the assay. The assay mixture contained 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.5, containing 0.3 mM EDTA, 50 μM xanthine and 50 μl of enzyme sample in final volume of 3 ml, in a 10 mm quartz cuvette. The blank cuvette consisted of all the components listed above except enzyme. XO activity determination was initiated by addition of enzyme and was monitored by analyzing the amount of uric acid formed at 292 nm at ambient temperature. The velocity of reaction was determined as nmol of uric formed per ml per min using extinction coefficient (ε) of 12.2 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹. One unit of enzyme activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that catalyzed the formation of 1 nmol of uric acid per min under the stated conditions.

Protein determination:
Protein concentrations of partially purified XO fractions were determined according to the Bradford method with Bradford macro method kit, using bovine serum albumin as standard, according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Spectrophotometric determination of XO enzyme kinetic parameters:
Kₘ (Michaelis-Menten constant) and Vₘₐₓ (maximum velocity) values for the oxidation of xanthine to uric acid with rat and mouse liver XO fractions were determined. Initial experiments to determine linearity of reaction with respect to both enzyme amount and time were conducted (data not shown). Product formation was kept to 10% or below for adherence to Michaelis-Menten assumptions. The assay methodology used was similar to described above for enzyme assay, except that different concentrations of xanthine i.e. 1, 2, 5, 7.5, 10, 12.5, 15, 17.5, 20, 25 and 50 μM were used. The velocity of reaction was determined as the nmol of uric formed per ml per min using extinction coefficient (ε) of 12.2 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹.

HPLC determination of XO enzyme kinetic parameters:
Kₘ (Michaelis-Menten constant) and Vₘₐₓ (maximum velocity) values for the oxidation of xanthine to uric acid with rat and mouse liver XO fractions were determined by HPLC. Initial experiments to determine linearity of reaction with respect to both enzyme amount and time were conducted (data not shown). Product formation was kept to 10% or below for adherence to Michaelis-Menten assumptions. For HPLC analysis, XO incubations were done as mentioned in the ‘spectrophotometric determination of XO enzyme kinetic parameters’ section except for the following changes. Incubations were performed in 10 ml vials which were placed in shaking water bath and the assay itself was conducted at 37°. The incubations were stopped after 5 min by addition 1 ml of 2% w/v ZnSO₄ solution (in 50:50 methanol:water) as quenching agent. Samples were centrifuged in microcentrifuge for 5 min at 7225×g and supernatants analyzed by HPLC. HPLC analysis was carried out using a Jasco HPLC system with PU-980 intelligent pump and UV 975 detector. Chromatographic separation was achieved using Supelcosil C18, 5 μm (150×4.6 mm) column. The mobile phase was 50 mM monobasic potassium phosphate:acetonitrile (97.5:2.5) and the flow rate was 0.5 ml/min. Oxidized metabolite i.e. uric acid, was identified by comparison of retention time with standard uric acid. Calibration curve for uric acid was generated in the range of 0.1 μM - 40 μM for the quantitative determination of uric acid formed. The velocity of reaction was determined as the nmol of uric formed per ml per min.

Data analysis:
Kₘ and Vₘₐₓ values were determined using the Lineweaver-Burk, Eadie-Hofstee and Hanes plotting.
methods. The line of best fit through the points on the plot was determined using linear regression by least squares method using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Office XP).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Unit activity and specific activity of XO fractions from different animal liver cytosolic fractions were measured spectrophotometrically using xanthine (50 µM) as the specific probe substrate for XO. The mean unit XO activities and specific XO activities are listed in Table 1. XO activity was present in rat and mouse isolated liver XO fractions. XO activity was however absent in rabbit and guinea pig liver XO fractions. Further enzyme kinetic parameter estimations were therefore done only with rat and mouse liver XO fractions.

$K_m$ and $V_{max}$ values for the oxidation of xanthine to uric acid by xanthine oxidase fractions were measured by both spectrophotometric and HPLC methods, for rat and mouse liver XO liver fractions. Mean values of $K_m$ and $V_{max}$ of xanthine oxidase by spectrophotometric method as determined using three different plotting methods viz. Lineweaver-Burk plot, Eadie-Hofstee plot and Hanes plot are given in Table 2. The typical chromatogram of xanthine oxidation incubation to uric acid as observed by HPLC analysis is depicted in fig. 1. The retention times for uric acid and xanthine were 5.73 and 7.52 min, respectively. Mean values of $K_m$ and $V_{max}$ of xanthine oxidase by HPLC method were also determined by three different plotting methods viz. Lineweaver-Burk plot, Eadie-Hofstee plot and Hanes plot and are given in Table 2.

In present study, high xanthine oxidase activity was detected in rat and mouse liver whereas, XO activity was absent in rabbit and guinea pig livers. This was expected as previous studies have indicated that little or no XO is present in rabbit and guinea pig livers.$^{13,14}$

Our study also indicates that the standard deviation values associated with the $K_m$ values by HPLC method are less as compared to spectrophotometric method, suggesting that the HPLC method is more precise than the spectrophotometric method. The kinetic parameters i.e. $K_m$ and $V_{max}$ were determined using three Lineweaver-Burk plot, Eadie-Hofstee plot and Hanes plots.

TABLE 1: UNIT ACTIVITY AND SPECIFIC ACTIVITY OF XANTHINE OXIDASE IN RAT, MOUSE, RABBIT AND GUINEA PIG LIVER FRACTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal liver</th>
<th>Mean unit activity (units/ml of enzyme solution)</th>
<th>Mean specific activity (units/mg of protein)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>106±20.7</td>
<td>20.8±4.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>85.1±20.1</td>
<td>18.3±10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea pig</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One unit of enzyme activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that catalyzed the production of 1 nmol of uric acid per min under the stated conditions, when xanthine was used as the substrate. The values listed are the mean values ± standard deviation, obtained from three liver fractions for each animal, each experiment being conducted in duplicate.

TABLE 2: VALUES OF $K_m$ AND $V_{max}$ OF XO FOR XANTHINE BY UV AND HPLC ASSAYS USING LB, EH, AND HANES PLOTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>$K_m$ (µM)</th>
<th>$V_{max}$ (nmol/min/ml)</th>
<th>$K_m$ (µM)</th>
<th>$V_{max}$ (nmol/min/ml)</th>
<th>$K_m$ (µM)</th>
<th>$V_{max}$ (nmol/min/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rat (UV)</td>
<td>9.71±5.03</td>
<td>2.00±1.05</td>
<td>7.44±3.35</td>
<td>1.10±0.46</td>
<td>5.32±2.74</td>
<td>1.57±0.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat (HPLC)</td>
<td>13.8±4.24</td>
<td>2.55±0.894</td>
<td>9.82±1.95</td>
<td>2.13±0.61</td>
<td>8.17±1.42</td>
<td>1.96±0.538</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse (UV)</td>
<td>12.0±3.33</td>
<td>1.60±1.05</td>
<td>8.69±2.37</td>
<td>1.36±0.88</td>
<td>7.24±2.74</td>
<td>1.27±0.843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse (HPLC)</td>
<td>9.67±3.28</td>
<td>2.15±0.914</td>
<td>7.88±2.84</td>
<td>2.00±0.94</td>
<td>5.73±4.00</td>
<td>1.848±0.962</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values listed are the mean values ± standard deviation, obtained from three liver fractions for each animal, each experiment being conducted in duplicate. LB - Lineweaver-Burk, EH - Eadie Hofstee. $K_m$ values are expressed in µM and $V_{max}$ values are expressed in nmol of uric acid formed per ml per min.

Fig 1: Overlaid HPLC chromatograms of incubations showing conversion of xanthine to uric acid. Mouse liver fractions were incubated with a range of xanthine concentrations for the determination of initial velocities as indicated in the text. The retention times for uric acid (peak 1) and xanthine (peak 2) were 5.7 and 7.5 min, respectively. The overlain chromatograms are staggered and arranged in increasing order of xanthine concentrations used in the kinetic experiment as one proceeds from front to back.
The results obtained in this study show that the $K_m$ values as determined by Lineweaver-Burk plot, for rat and mouse liver XO fractions were $9.71 \pm 5.03$ µM and $12.0 \pm 3.33$ µM, respectively, which are in reasonable agreement with the reported values, since XO structure is fairly conserved across species. The values of $K_d$ determined by different plotting methods by both UV and HPLC are also in the same range ($5.32-13.8$ µM). Further, the values for $K_m$ as obtained by spectrophotometric and HPLC methods are also in close agreement even though HPLC assays were done at $37^\circ$ as opposed to ambient temperature for UV assays. Further, the estimated $V_{max}$ values were consistently higher in HPLC based assays. This is expected since incubations at higher temperatures generally yield higher $V_{max}$ values due to enhanced collision events between enzyme and substrate.

Overall, this study presents a comparison of two methods for the determination of enzyme kinetic parameters in crude fractions of XO (isolated using reported methods), with xanthine as the model substrate. Such assays are of utility in the establishment of drug metabolism study protocols in drug metabolism research.
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