Discovery of Novel Tetrahydropyrido-Pyrazole based Cathepsin S Inhibitors: Implications of Two Dimensional Quantitative Structure Activity Relationship Analysis
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The anti-arthritic activity of cathepsin S enzyme has been acknowledged and regarded as an emerging target for the development of novel therapeutic agents for the treatment of various autoimmune disorders and other inflammatory diseases. Two dimensional-quantitative structure-activity relationships have been performed on a series of tetrahydropyrido-pyrazole nucleus using TSAR 3.3. Attempts have been made to derive and comprehend a correlation between biological activity (dependent variable) and descriptors (independent variables). The study was performed using 268 compounds (data set) by division into training and test set by the random selection method. 179 compounds generated a final quantitative structure-activity relationship model with the leave-out one row method of cross-validation to evaluate the predictive ability of the model. The most significant model with n=179, regression coefficient (0.851), correlation coefficient (0.725), cross-validated correlation coefficient (0.709), standard error (0.349), Fischer statistic value (114.706) was developed using multiple linear regression analysis. For partial least squares, a statistical significance value of 0.988 and a fraction of variance explained 0.723 were observed. A comparable partial least squares model with correlation coefficient (0.723) and neural model with correlation coefficient (0.731) indicated good internal predictability of the model. External test set validation provided correlation coefficient values of 0.708 and 0.706 for multiple linear regressions and partial least squares analysis respectively. Quantitative structure-activity relationship model indicated the importance of hydrophobic (log P (substituent 1)), topological (kier chi 5 (path) index (whole molecule)), electronic (bond dipole moment (substituent 5)) and (dipole moment Z component (substituent 1)) descriptors for the activity of Cathepsin S inhibitors. This study will be effective in designing novel and more potent cathepsin S inhibitors.
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The “Cathepsin” term was extracted from the Greek word ‘kathepsein’ meaning “to digest”[1,2]. It was discovered in the 20th century[3]. A total of 11 human cysteine cathepsins are expressed in the human genome[4]. Out of these 11, cathepsins L, V, S, K and F are endopeptidases, cathepsins X, B, C and H are exopeptidases and cathepsins O and W of unknown category[1,5,6]. Cathepsin S is highly expressed in dendritic cells, macrophages, spleen, lymph nodes, monocytes and/or thymic cortical epithelial cells. The enzyme is involved in antigen processing and presentation. The unique distribution pattern indicates its deep involvement in the immune response[7].

All papain-like cysteine proteases, comprising cathepsin S, are composed of a signal peptide, a proprieted and a catalytic domain[8]. Its highly conserved active site consists of Cysteine, Histidine and Asparagine residues[1]. The structure of cathepsin S comprises a single chain monomeric protein with left and right domain[9]. The cleft of the active site lies in between the two domains and contains the residues Cys25 and His159[9].

Cathepsin S plays important role in the development of various inflammation-associated ailments such as cancer[8,10-15], arthritis[9,16], periodontitis[17], psoriasis[9,18], lung diseases[19-25], cardiovascular disease in patients with chronic kidney disease[26-30], bone[31], Sjogren’s Syndrome[32,33] and immune disorders[34]. Inhibitors of cathepsin S, furthermore, act as immunomodulators[35,36].
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Consequently, there is a requirement to progress research efforts concentrated on cathepsin S use in diagnostics and as therapeutic targets in diseases\cite{57,58}. Cathepsin S inhibitors of dipeptidyl nitrile are an emerging target for the abolition of tumors\cite{15}.

Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) is a chronic systemic autoimmune inflammatory disease of unknown etiology affecting all joints covered by synovium. The Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) molecule, also known as or Human Leukocyte Antigen complex (HLA) molecule and plays a central role in the pathology of RA\cite{69}. The Antigen-Presenting Cells (APCs), usually a macrophage in the synovium, engulf the antigen. Enzymes (peroxides) inside the APCs break down the antigen into fragments\cite{40}. The molecular mechanism starts with the synthesis of MHC II Alpha-Beta (αβ) heterodimers in the endoplasmic reticulum and the association of a protein, the invariant chain (Li) in the peptide-binding cleft. The αβLi complex gets transferred to the lysosome, where a part of the Li is cleaved by cathepsin S leaving a short fragment, Corticotropin Like Intermediate Peptide (CLIP) in the active site preventing any premature binding of antigenic peptides\cite{32,41-43}. Another protein HLA-DM helps in releasing the CLIP from the MHC protein thus providing the binding site for the peptide fragments. After binding to the MHC II molecule, the complex is transferred to the cell surface\cite{41,44,45}. This complex is presented to T-cells (Clusters of Differentiation-4 (CD4) cells i.e. T-helper cell) which the T-Cell Receptor (TCR) recognizes and binds causing APCs to secrete cytokines like Interleukin-1 (IL-1), Interferon-α (IFN-α), IFN-Gamma (γ), Tumor Necrosis Factor (TNF) and other factors that activate lymphocytes and other immune cells to respond to the antigens thus causing inflammation\cite{9,24,39}. More specifically, HLA-DR β1 alleles give a major genetic contribution to RA. HLA-DR β1 loci are dominated by HLA-DR β1*0401, HLA-DR β1*0404, HLA-DR β1*0101, HLA-DR β1*01, HLA-DR β1*04, HLA-DR β1*0405, HLA-DR β1*0408, HLA-DR β1*1001, HLA-DR β1*1401. These genetic variants all together are recognized as shared epitope due to the arrangement resemblance in the third hyper variable region of the DR β chain (amino acids 70-74: QKRAA, QRRAA, RRRAA) and therefore expected to present similar antigens to CD4 T cells. Of these, HLA-DR β1*04 alleles pose the strongest genetic susceptibility to RA. This provides a shred of strong evidence for adaptive immunity significant in the pathogenesis of RA \textit{via} MHC II-dependent T cell activation\cite{46-48}.

Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship (QSAR) technique is employed in biological activity modeling, as well as, calculating Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism and Excretion (ADME)/toxicity properties\cite{49}. A QSAR model with the help of a mathematical equation correlates the structure/chemical characteristics of the molecule with their biological activities. This information helps design more potent compounds and the predictions of the biological activities can be done for new entities\cite{60}. A QSAR study has great significance in enzyme inhibition studies and identifying the important active sites in the receptor. Thus, QSAR studies have become important in drug design\cite{51-53}. Presently Two Dimensional (2D) QSAR analysis has been applied as it is simple and less error-prone. As it does not require any conformational search or structural alignment, it is more beneficial than Three-Dimensional (3D) QSAR analysis\cite{54,55}. Moreover, structural descriptors are used in 2D methods encoding all the chemical information\cite{56}. Thus 2D shows superiority over 3D-QSAR\cite{57-58}.

In classical 2D-QSAR, the structural similarity i.e., congeneric series of compounds is a strict criterion (chemical diversity must be limited to substitution alone and parent structure must be the same for all compounds). The more number of compounds used in the dataset, the better the results and statistically significant QSAR model. The series used in this study contains 268 congeneric molecules which are quite good in a number. Furthermore, the activity difference (log difference) was found to be 3.658 which are worthy to choose tetrahydropyrido-pyrazole as a scaffold. No 2D-QSAR analysis has been done on this scaffold which created my interest to correlate various physicochemical parameters to the anti-rheumatic activity for the design of some novel tetrahydropyrido-pyrazole derivatives.

Apart from this, highly potent non-covalent inhibitors of human cathepsin S based on tetrahydropyrido-pyrazole heterocycle has been already reported with good \textit{in vitro} potency against the enzyme, as measured in the enzymatic assay (hCatS Inhibitory Concentration (IC\textsubscript{50})) and an invariant chain degradation cellular assay (JY Li IC\textsubscript{50})\cite{59-64}. All the above-listed factors were responsible for the selection of tetrahydropyrido-pyrazole scaffolds as cathepsin S inhibitors.

QSAR model can be developed by using Multiple Linear Regression (MLR), Partial Least Squares (PLS) and Artificial Neural Network (ANN). MLR is the most common form of linear regression analysis.
As a predictive analysis, MLR is used to explain the relationship between one continuous dependent variable and two or more independent variables\[^{65}\]. PLS is multivariate analysis. It is based on principal component analysis. It carries out regression and gives the maximum correlation between the principal components (independent variables) and the dependent variable. The linear equation indicates the relationship between a dependent (activity) variable \(Y\) and independent variables \(X\) (latent variables or principal components)\[^{65}\]. Regression analysis and the method of least squares entered the social sciences with the pioneering work by Legendre in 1805 and by Karl Gauss in 1809. Karl Pearson in 1930 has put regression and multiple regressions on a firm mathematical footing\[^{66-68}\]. The first publication of PLS to regression was given by Harman Wold\[^{69}\].

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The project was done at Banasthali Vidyapith University, Jaipur, Rajasthan by Sneha Kushwaha, as a part of the M. Pharm project from July 2013 to June 2014. QSAR model was developed using MLR, PLS and ANN.

**Generation of 3D structures:**

All the structures of tetrahydropyrido-pyrazole derivatives mentioned in the literature\[^{50-64,70-73}\] were sketched using Chem Draw Ultra 12.0 software.

The structures were imported on the TSAR worksheet (version 3.3, Accelrys Inc., Oxford, England). The series had five major substituents which were defined by selecting the Whole Molecule|Structures|Define substituents option in the TSAR worksheet toolbar. The molecules now undergo structure optimization. Using CORINA, 2D structures were converted into their 3D forms. It predicts 3D coordinates directly from the molecule’s structural formula. It generates one low-energy conformation for each input structure by default. It is an automatic 3D model building kit\[^{74}\].

Partial atomic charge calculation of a molecule has been done by using the "charge-2-derive charges" option which is a prerequisite for several structural manipulations. Total molecular energies were calculated using COSMIC by summing all the valence and non-bonded terms for all appropriate sets of atoms. The force-field provided by COSMIC for energy calculations confirms that only the more energetically genuine conformation is considered\[^{65}\]. Now, the activity data of 268 compounds have been imported into the TSAR worksheet\[^{75}\]. The inverse logarithmic values \(\log(1/IC_{50})\) is used so that higher values are obtained for more effective analogs\[^{65}\].

**Calculation of the molecular descriptors:**

Molecular descriptors were now calculated using TSAR 3.3 software. More than 1250 descriptors were calculated for generating a QSAR model namely molecular attributes molecular indices-topological, connectivity, shape indices, atom counts and Variational Approach for Markov Processes (VAMP).

**Data reduction:**

Large data set of independent variables may increase the risk of over fitting the data. Thus, there is a significant need to minimize the data pool to eliminate the chance correlation. Descriptors having constant values were deleted initially. Data was reduced by pairwise correlation. Forward and backward elimination methods were used for the inclusion or rejection of descriptors\[^{76}\]. A correlation matrix was used to reduce the number of descriptors and to identify the best subset of descriptors with minimum inter-correlation\[^{65}\].

Next, the data reduction was performed based on \(t\)-values by using the backward elimination technique. The stepwise regressions were developed. The descriptors with lower \(t\)-values were discarded\[^{65}\]. Finally, a minimum of three to four descriptors were left which generated good statistical data. Four independent molecular descriptors-Dipole moment Z component (substituent 1), bond dipole moment (substituent 5), \(\log p\) (substituent 1) and kier chi 5 (path) indexes (whole molecule) were retrieved.

**Data set preparation:**

The structures of the series were randomly divided into training and test set. The training set consisted of 179 compounds and 85 compounds were included in the test set. The training set was used to generate linear models so that an accurate relationship could be found between structures and biological activity. The molecules of the test set checked the predictive power of the developed model.

**Model development and validation:**

Model development was done by MLR and validated by PLS and ANN. Various MLR models were generated. The generated models were validated using both internal and external validation techniques. Internal validation was done by applying cross-validation analysis using the Leave One Out (LOO) method. External validation
was done using the model developed by the training set through which activities of the test set molecules were predicted\(^{[27]}\).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

After data reduction, four independent molecular descriptors viz., dipole moment Z component (substituent 1), bond dipole moment (substituent 5), log P (substituent 1) and kier chi 5 (path) indexes (whole molecule) were left with high correlation with the dependent variable i.e. the biological activity. The statistical values of the regression analysis of this model showed poor predictive ability. The improved model was obtained after data reduction and by removing four compounds-D33, H20, H49, I15 as outliers as shown in Table 1.

The value of correlation coefficient \(r^2=0.725\) means that the MLR equation accounts for 72.5 % variance in the biological activity which depicts a quite reasonable fit. The cross-validation regression coefficient \(r\) is greater than 0.6 and the difference between \(r^2\) (0.725) and cross-validated correlation coefficient \((r^2cv)\) (0.709) is quite small which indicates the good internal predictive ability of the model. Fischer statistic \(f\) is the measure of the probability of no chance correlation. Fischer statistic value (114.706) was also found significant. It is the ratio of the variance explained by the model and the variance due to the regression error. The high value of \(F\) reflects the statistical significance of the model. The value of standard error, (0.34 s) is significantly low for the regression to be significant. It measures the quality of the fit of the model.

The correlation between parameters used and the biological activity is given in Table 2. The statistical significance of the descriptors used in the final QSAR model is given in Table 3. The parameters with t-values greater than 2 indicate their significance in the model. The four highly correlated descriptors were used to generate the regression equation as shown below and analyzed for their relative impacts on the activity of the compounds.

### TABLE 1: STATISTICAL VALUES OBTAINED BEFORE DATA REDUCTION AND AFTER PERFORMING MLR ANALYSIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.no.</th>
<th>Statistical tests</th>
<th>Values before data reduction</th>
<th>Values after MLR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>s value</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>f value</td>
<td>58.67</td>
<td>114.706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F probability</td>
<td>1.7e-035</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Regression coefficient, r</td>
<td>0.686</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(r^2)</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Cross validation, (r^2)(cv)</td>
<td>0.451</td>
<td>0.709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Residual sum of squares</td>
<td>56.0932</td>
<td>21.2565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Predictive sum of squares</td>
<td>58.1726</td>
<td>22.4783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2: CORRELATION MATRIX SHOWING CORRELATION BETWEEN THE BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITY AND THE MOLECULAR DESCRIPTORS LEFT AFTER DATA REDUCTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Log (1/IC(_{50}))</th>
<th>X1: Dipole moment Z (Subst. 1)</th>
<th>X2: Bond dipole moment (Subst. 5)</th>
<th>X3: log P (Subst. 1)</th>
<th>X4: Kier Chi5 (path) index (Whole molecule)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log (1/IC(_{50}))</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.44998</td>
<td>-0.28614</td>
<td>0.56862</td>
<td>0.62961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X1: Dipole moment Z component (substituent 1)</td>
<td>-0.44998</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-0.13913</td>
<td>-0.25175</td>
<td>-0.23871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2: Bond dipole moment (substituent 5)</td>
<td>-0.28614</td>
<td>-0.13913</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.13363</td>
<td>-0.11836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3: log P (substituent 1)</td>
<td>0.56862</td>
<td>-0.25175</td>
<td>0.13363</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.27559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4: Kier Chi5 (path) index (Whole molecule)</td>
<td>0.62961</td>
<td>-0.23871</td>
<td>-0.11836</td>
<td>0.27559</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Original equation (by MLR method)
\[ Y = -0.135 \times X_1 - 0.183 \times X_2 + 0.307 \times X_3 + 0.248 \times X_4 - 2.581 \]

Standardized equation (by MLR method)
\[ Y = -0.193 \times S_1 - 0.221 \times S_2 + 0.282 \times S_3 + 0.264 \times S_4 + 0.750 \]

Where \( X_1 \) is dipole moment Z component, \( X_2 \) is bond dipole moment, \( X_3 \) is log P, \( X_4 \) is kier chi 5 (path) indexes and \( Y \) is the biological activity.

MLR was performed with 179 compounds in the training set and 85 compounds in the test set. The MLR analysis gave satisfactory results with \( r^2 = 0.725 \) (training set) and 0.708 (test set) suggesting good external validation shown in fig. 1 and fig. 2. To confirm the liability of the generated model, PLS analysis was performed using the same data set. Both MLR and PLS should have comparable results[78,79]. Table 4 shows the results of PLS. This signifies a 72.387 % variance (greater than 0.6) in the biological activity. A small difference between \( r^2 \) and \( r^2_{cv} \) predicts the good internal predictive ability of the developed model.

The following equation represents the PLS equation (2)
\[ Y = -0.131 \times X_1 - 0.178 \times X_2 + 0.289 \times X_3 + 0.267 \times X_4 - 2.774 \]

PLS showed perfect results with \( r^2 = 0.723 \) (training set) and 0.706 (test set) which further suggested the good external prediction shown in fig. 3 and fig. 4. Further validation was done by performing ANN. The ANN results are shown in fig. 5 and fig. 6. The best Root Mean Square (RMS) fit was found to be 0.0737 at 2440 cycles. Net configuration was 4-15-1 and test RMS fit was 0.0804.
### TABLE 4: STATISTICAL TEST SET VALUES OF THE MODEL DEVELOPED BY PLS ANALYSIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistical significance</th>
<th>Fraction of Variance explained, $r^2$</th>
<th>$r^2_{cv}$</th>
<th>Residual sum of squares</th>
<th>Predictive sum of squares</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.72387</td>
<td>0.71265</td>
<td>49.152</td>
<td>51.149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3: Actual vs. predicted activity plot for the training set compounds derived from PLS analysis

Fig. 4: Actual vs. Predicted Activity plot for the test set compounds derived from PLS analysis

Fig. 5: Actual vs. Predicted Activity plot for the training set compounds derived from ANN analysis

Fig. 6: Actual vs. Predicted Activity plot for the test set compounds derived from ANN analysis
Dipole moment Z component (substituent 1), bond dipole moment (substituent 5), log P (substituent 1) and kier chi 5 (path) indexes (whole molecule) were the inputs and negative log IC_{50} values were the output for ANN model. As the model suggests, cathepsin S inhibitor activity increases with the increase in log P (substituent 1) and kier chi 5 (path) index (whole molecule) and decreases with an increase in dipole moment Z component (substituent 1) and bond dipole moment (substituent 5). The first descriptor dipole moment Z component (substituent 1) explains the charge distribution and orientation behavior of the molecule. The second descriptor bond dipole moment (substituent 1) explains the lipophilic character of the molecule. The descriptor is positively correlated with the biological activity which is revealed by the plot dependency graph. The less polar groups when introduced will tend to increase the biological activity. It clearly explains that bulky hydrophobic groups at the R1 position are an essential requirement for the cathepsin S inhibitor activity.

In the current study, the groups attached at the R1 position are morpholine, piperidine, or piperazine or substitutions on these rings. Morpholine ring has the presence of a weak basic nitrogen atom and an oxygen atom at the opposite position provides a peculiar pKa value and a flexible conformation to the ring. This allows it to take part in several lipophilic-hydrophilic interactions and to improve blood solubility and permeability of the overall structure, thus enhancing biological activity\cite{80-84}. Piperazine ring has two primary nitrogen atoms which improve the pharmacokinetic features of drug candidates due to their appropriate pKa. These nitrogen sites lead to the essential increase in water solubility of the drug-like molecules, thus enhancing the bioavailability. Piperazine side chains serve as a handle to modulate lipophilicity. It maintains a balance between pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetic profiles of drug-like molecules and high affinity for their targets, thus increasing biological activity\cite{84,85}. Piperidine ring from piperidine based analogs may enhance the important pharmacokinetic properties such as lipophilicity and metabolic stability when attached to molecular scaffolds. Thus, the nature of all the three descriptors clearly explains the hydrophobic nature of the active site of the target-cathepsin S enzyme. In addition to this, cathepsin S enzyme contains a hydrophobic core which will facilitate the binding of the lipophilic groups of the molecule\cite{86}.

The fourth descriptor is the kier chi 5 (path) indexes (whole molecule) is a well-known topological indices. It explains the molecule’s identity, bonding environment and the number of hydrogen bonds. It explains the molecular connectivity of a molecule. As it is positively correlated, the presence of such groups is beneficial for the increase the biological activity as shown by the plot dependency graph. A 2D-QSAR study has been done on a series of tetrahydropyrido-pyrazole based cathepsin S inhibitors. A statistically significant QSAR model was generated. The model has $r$, $r^2$ and $r^{2cv}$ as 0.85, 0.72 and 0.71 respectively. Dipole moment Z component (substituent 1), bond dipole moment (substituent 5), log P (substituent 1) and kier chi 5 (path) indexes (whole molecule) contributed to the inhibitory activity. Thus, this study will facilitate to design of new cathepsin S inhibitors with increased potency.
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