Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences

Scientific Publication of the Indian Pharmaceutical Association

	/ledica, Interi	hational Pharmaceutical Absi	tracts, Chemical Abstracts.		
Volume 69 Num		ber 5 September-October 200			
	CONT	ENTS			
REVIEW ARTICLES		Simultaneous Estimatio	n of Aceclofenac, Paracetamol	and	
Recent Trends in Drug-Likeness Prediction: A Comprehensive		G. GARG, SWARNLATA SAF	S RAF AND S. SARAF	692-694	
R. U. KADAM AND N. ROY	609-615	Reverse Phase High Per	formance Liquid Chromatograp	ohy	
Biodegradable Polymers: Which, When and Why?		Method for Estimation o Formulations	of Ezetimibe in Bulk and Pharma	aceutical	
V. B. KOTWAL, MARIA SAIFEE, NAZMA INAMDAR AND		S. K. AKMAR, LATA KOTHAR	PALLI, ASHA THOMAS,	005 007	
KIRAN BHISE	616-625	Summer Source and Summer Sourc	ammatory Activity of N-Arvl	690-697	
RESEARCH PAPERS		Anthranilic Acid and it	ts Derivatives		
Strong Cation Exchange Resin for Improving Physicoch	emical	J. K. JOSHI, V. R. PATEL, K RONAK PATEL AND RAJES	(. PATEL, D. RANA, K. SHAH, 6H PATEL	697-699	
Properties and Sustaining Release of Ranitidine Hydrochle S. KHAN, A. GUHA, P. G. YEOLE, AND P. KATARIYA		RP-HPLC Method for the	e Determination of Atorvastatin		
Novel Co-Processed Excipients of Mannitol and Microcr	ystalline	CALCIUM AND NICOTINIC ACID IN COMBINED TABLET DOSAGE FORM			
Cellulose for Preparing Fast Dissolving Tablets of Glipiz	ide 633-630	S. L. BALDANIA	5. METTA, M. D. SHANNAN AND	700-703	
Formulation and Optimization of Directly Compressible	Isoniazid	Determination of Etorico	oxib in Pharmaceutical Formula	tions by	
Modified Release Matrix Tablet		HPLC METROD H M PATEL B N SUHAGIA	A S A SHAH AND I S RATHOD	703-705	
D. G. JENA	640-645			100100	
Effect of Casting Solvent and Polymer on Permeability of	of	Proceedings of the	he Symposium on Adv	ances	
Propranolol Hydrochloride Through Membrane Controlle Transdermal Drug Delivery System	ed	in Pulmonary and	d Nasal Drug Delivery,		
T. E. G. K. MURTHY AND V. S. KISHORE	646-650	<u>October 2007, Mu</u>	umbai		
Preparation of Mucoadhesive Microspheres for Nasal		Albumin Microspheres of	of Fluticasone Propionate Inclus	sion	
MAHALAXMI RATHANANAND, D. S. KUMAR, A. SHIRWAIKAR,		A. A. LOHADE, D. J. SINGH,	J. J. PARMAR, D. D. HEGDE, M. D. N	IENON,	
RAVI KUMAR, D. SAMPATH KUMAR AND R. S. PRASAD	651-657	P. S. SONI, A. SAMAD AND	R. V. GAIKWAD	707-709	
Effect of Polymers on Crystallo-co-agglomeration of		Design and Developmer	t of Thermoreversible Mucoadh	nesive	
A. PAWAR, A. R. PARADKAR, S. S. KADAM AND K. R. MAHADIK	658-664	R. S. BHANUSHALI AND A.	N. BAJAJ	709-712	
Synthesis and Antimicrobial Evaluation of Some Novel 2-Imino-		Preparation and Charac	terization of Chitosan Nanopart	ticles	
their Brominated Derivatives	s and	BHAVNA, V. SHARMA, M. A	ry of a Cholinesterase inhibitor	712-713	
P. MISHRA, T. LUKOSE AND S. K. KASHAW	665-668	Poloxamer Coated Flution	casone Propionate Microparticl	es for Pul-	
Measurement of Urine and Plasma Oxalate with Reusab Strip of Amaranthus Leaf Oxalate Oxidase	le	monary Delivery; In Vivo Lung Deposition and Efficacy Studies			
NISHA SHARMA, MINAKSHI SHARMA, V. KUMAR AND		A. SAMAD, AND R. V. GAIKV	D. D. HEGDE, M. D. MENON, P. S. SC NAD	714-715	
C. S. PUNDIR	669-673	Sustained Release Bude	esonide Liposomes: Lung Depo	sition	
SHORT COMMUNICATIONS			D D HEGDE M D MENON P S SC		
Simultaneous HPLC Estimation of Omeprazole and		A. SAMAD AND R. V. GAIKW	VAD	716-717	
	674-676	Generation of Budesoni	de Microparticles by Spray Dry	ing	
Isolation and Evaluation of Fenugreek Seed Husk as a	014-010	S R NAIKWADE AND A N	ary Delivery BAJAJ	717-721	
Granulating Agent		Microemulsion of Lamo	trigine for Nasal Delivery		
AMELIA AVACHAI, K. N. GUJAR, V. B. KOTWAL AND SONALI PAT	IL 676-679	A. J. SHENDE, R. R. PATIL A	ND P. V. DEVARAJAN	721-722	
Derivatives as Potential Antimicrobial Agents		Development of a pMDI	Formulation Containing Budes	onide	
A. K. HALVE, DEEPTI BHADAURIA, B. BHASKAR, R. DUBEY AND VASUDHA SHARMA) 680-682	Development of a pMDI	Formulation Containing Salbut	722-724 amol	
Simultaneous Spectrophotometric Estimation of	000 002	E. ROBINS, G. WILLIAMS A	ND S. PRIOLKAR	724-726	
Atorvastatin Calcium and Ezetimibe in Tablets		Aqua Triggered In Situ G	Selling Microemulsion for Nasal	Delivery	
S. J. SURANA	683-684	K. K. SHELKE AND P. V. DE	vakajan Nasal Sprav Pumps in Human	726-727	
High Performance Thin Layer Chromatographic Estimati	ion of	Volunteers By SPECT-C	T Imaging		
Lansoprazole and Lomperidone in Tablets J. V. SUSHEEL, M. LEKHA AND T. K. RAVI	684-686	S. A. HAZARE, M. D. MENOI	N, P. S. SONI, G. WILLIAMS AND	720 720	
Antimicrobial Activity of Helicteres isora Root		O. DRUUEI Nasal Permeation Enha	ncement of Sumatrintan Succin	128-129	
S. VENKATESH, K. SAILAXMI, B. MADHAVA REDDY AND	687,690	through Nasal Mucosa	isoment of ournatriptan oucom		
	001-009	S. S. SHIDHAYE, N. S. SAIN	DANE, P.V. THAKKAR, S. B. SUTAR	AND	

Synthesis and Antibacterial Activity of 2-phenyl-3,5-diphe-nyl (substituted) -6-aryl-3,3a,5,6-tetrahydro-2H-pyrazolo[3,4djthiazoles

S. K. SAHU, S. K. MISHRA, R. K. MOHANTA, P. K. PANDA AND MD. AFZAL AZAM

Formulation Development of Eucalyptus Oil Microemulsion for Intranasal Delivery N. G. TIWARI AND A. N. BAJAJ 731-733

729-731

689-692

V. J. KADAM

Effect of Casting Solvent and Polymer on Permeability of Propranolol Hydrochloride Through Membrane Controlled Transdermal Drug Delivery System

T. E. G. K. MURTHY* AND V. S. KISHORE

Department of Pharmaceutics, Bapatla College of Pharmacy, Bapatla - 522 101, India

In the present work, cellulose acetate and ethyl cellulose films were prepared and evaluated as rate controlling membrane for transdermal drug delivery systems. In each case films were prepared using solutions of the polymer in various solvents to evaluate the influence of the solvent used on the mechanical and permeability properties of the films. Acetone-methanol (8:2), chloroform-methanol (8:2), dichloromethane-methanol (8:2) and ethyl acetate-methanol (8:2) were used as solvents in the preparation of cellulose acetate and ethyl cellulose films. Dibutyl phthalate or propylene glycol at a concentration of 40% w/w of the polymer was used as a plasticizer in the preparation films. The method of moulding was found to be giving thin uniform films. The dry films were evaluated for physical appearance, thickness uniformity, folding endurance, water vapour transmission, drug diffusion and permeability coefficient. Both water vapour transmission and Drug diffusion rate followed zero order kinetics. The mechanism of drug release was governed by Peppas model. The diffusion exponent of release profiles (slope) has a value of 1.0360-1.3147 (n>1), which indicates non anomalous transport diffusion. The results obtained in the present study thus indicated that the polymers and solvents used in the preparation of films have shown significant influence on the water vapour transmission, drug diffusion and permeability of the films. Area of patches ranging from 1.29- 4.53 cm² were found to yield the desired release rate of propranolol hydrochloride. Cellulose acetate films employed with ethyl acetate:methanol in 8:2 ratio as casting solvent yielded low area (1.29 cm²) of patch with desired release rate.

Key words: Drug diffusion and permeability coefficient, polymer, solvents, water vapour transmission

The development of transdermal drug delivery systems using polymeric materials has become popular for various reasons. Among the various types of transdermal drug delivery systems developed, membrane controlled type utilizes a thin polymeric film as rate controlling membrane, which delivers the drug from the drug reservoir to the systemic circulation for an extended period of time. The permeability of drug through polymeric film is dependent on characteristics of the polymer^{1,2}, casting solvent^{3,4} and plasticizer^{5,6} used. In the present work ethyl cellulose (EC) and cellulose acetate (CA) films were prepared and evaluated as rate controlling membranes for transdermal drug delivery systems. Propranolol hydrochloride⁷ which requires controlled release due to its short biological half life (3.9 h), was used as model drug. Moulding technique was employed in the present work for the preparation of films.

*For correspondence E-mail: gopalakrishnatalasila@yahoo.com

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Propranolol hydrochloride was obtained as a gift sample from Natco Pharma, Hyderabad. Ethyl cellulose (with an ethoxyl content of 47.5-53.5% by weight and a viscosity of 14 cps in a 5%w/w 80:20 toluene:ethanol solution at 25°, (S. D. Fine Chem), Cellulose acetate (viscosity of 6% solution in 95% acetone-water mixture at 20° having 140 CS viscosity, G. S. Chemical Testing Lab and Allied Industries) Acetone, chloroform, dichloromethane and ethyl acetate (Qualigens), dibutyl phthalate (Ranbaxy Laboratories) and propylene glycol (S. D. Fine Chem) were obtained commercially. All materials were used as received.

Preparation of drug free films:

Moulding technique was employed in the present work for the preparation of cellulose acetate and ethyl cellulose films. The films were prepared with ethyl cellulose and cellulose acetate (2% w/v) by employing different casting solvents namely acetone-methanol (8:2), chloroform-methanol (8:2), dichloromethane-methanol (8:2), and ethyl acetatemethanol (8:2). Dibutyl phthalate at a concentration of 40% w/w of the polymer was used as a plasticizer in the preparation of cellulose acetate and ethyl cellulose films. The cellulose acetate films made from its solution in dichloromethane-methanol (8:2) and ethyl cellulose films made from its solution in acetone-methanol (8:2), incorporating dibutyl phthalate were found to be brittle. In those cases propylene glycol at 40% w/w of the polymer was used as a plasticizer. Twenty millilitre of the polymer solution was poured in a Petri plate (9.4 cm diameter) placed on a horizontal flat surface. The rate of evaporation was controlled by inverting a funnel over the Petri plate. After 24 h the dried films were taken out and stored in a dessicator.

Evaluation of transdermal films:

All the films prepared were evaluated for physical appearance, uniformity of thickness, folding endurance, water vapour transmission and drug diffusion and permeability characteristics. The thickness of the films was measured using a Screw Gauge. The mean of the five observations were calculated. The folding endurance was measured manually for the prepared films. A strip of film (2×2 cm) was cut evenly and repeatedly folded at the same place till it broke. The number of times the film could be folded at the same place without breaking gave the exact value of folding endurance⁸.

For the study of water vapour transmission (WVT) rate, vials of equal diameter were used as transmission cells. These cells were washed thoroughly and dried in an oven. About 1 g of calcium chloride was taken in the cell and the polymeric films measuring 3.14 cm² area was fixed over the brim with the help of an adhesive. The cells were weighed accurately and initial weight is recorded, and then kept in a closed desiccator containing saturated solution of potassium chloride (about 200 ml). The humidity inside the desiccator was measured by a hygrometer and it was found to be in between 80-90% RH. The cells were taken out and weighed after 18, 36, 54 and 72 h.

From increase in weights the amount of water vapour transmitted and the rate at which water vapour transmitted were calculated by using the following formula⁹, WVT rate= WL/S, where, W is water

vapour transmitted in gms, L is thickness of the film in cm, S is exposed surface area in cm^2

Drug diffusion study¹⁰:

Drug diffusion study was conducted using Franz diffusion cell. The receptor compartment was filled with 15 ml of phosphate buffer having pH 7.4 as diffusion media. Polymeric film was mounted on the donor compartment with the help of an adhesive. An aliquot of 10 ml of the 0.25% W/V solution of drug (propranolol hydrochloride) was poured into the donor compartment. Magnetic stirrer was set at 50 rpm and whole assembly was maintained at $32\pm0.5^{\circ}$. The amount of drug released was determined by withdrawing 1 ml of sample at regular time intervals for 3 h. The volume withdrawn was replaced with equal volume of fresh buffer solution. Samples were analyzed for drug content using a UV spectrophotometer at 290 nm¹¹.

Permeability coefficient:

From the drug diffusion data the permeability co efficient for various films was calculated using the equation; $P_m = (K_{app}, H)/A$, where, K_{app} is the diffusion rate constant (mg/h) calculated from the slope of the linear drug (d/p) diffusion profiles , H is the thickness of the film (cm), A is the surface area of the film (cm²).

The rate and the mechanism of release of propranolol hydrochloride through the prepared films were analyzed by fitting the diffusion data into¹², zero-order equation, $Q=Q_0 - k_0 t$, where Q is the amount of drug released at time t, and k_0 is the release rate. First order equation, Ln Q=Ln Q₀- $k_1 t$, where k_1 is the release rate constant and Higuchi's equation, $Q=k_2t^{1/2}$, where Q is the amount of the drug released at time t and k_2 is the diffusion rate constant. The diffusion data was further analyzed to define the mechanism of release by applying the diffusion data following the empirical equation , $M_t/M_\alpha=Kt^n$, where M_t/M_α is the fraction of drug released at time t, K is a constant and n characterizes the mechanism of drug release from the formulations during diffusion process.

Estimation of area of patch required for desired release rate:

The mathematical description of drug release that follow zero order kinetics is based on the equation¹³; $K_r^0 = K_e C_d V_d$, where K_r^0 is zero order rate constant for drug release, K_e is the first order rate constant for overall drug elimination, C_d is the desired drug level in the body and V_d is the volume space in which drug is distributed. For propranolol hydrochloride⁷, t_{V_2} = 3.9 h, V_d = 4.3 l and C_d = 0.02 µg/ml and therefore the desired drug release rate can be calculated as K_r^0 = (0.693/3.9)×0.02×4.3×70 =1.064 mg/h. Hence, area of patch (A) required for desired release rate is A= $K_r^0/(K_{app}/S)$, where K_r^0 is the required drug release rate (mg/h), K_{app} is the diffusion rate constant (mg/h), S is the surface area of the film subjected to diffusion (cm²)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present work, cellulose acetate and ethyl cellulose films were prepared and evaluated as rate controlling membranes for transdermal drug delivery systems. Moulding technique was employed in the present work for the preparation of cellulose acetate and ethyl cellulose films. In each case films were prepared using solutions of the polymer in various solvents to evaluate the influence of the solvent used on the mechanical and permeability properties of the films. Acetone-methanol (8:2), chloroform-methanol (8:2), dichloromethane-methanol (8:2), and ethyl acetate-methanol (8:2) were used as solvents in the preparation of cellulose acetate and ethyl cellulose films. Cellulose acetate and ethyl cellulose films showed good film forming properties. The method of moulding was found to be giving thin uniform films. The films prepared with polymer alone were found to be brittle. To prevent embrittlement a plasticizer, dibutyl phthalate was tried at various concentrations ranging from10-50% w/w of the polymer. Preliminary experiments indicated that lower concentrations of dibutyl phthalate were found to give rigid and brittle films where as higher concentrations gave soft films. Dibutyl phthalate at a concentration of 40% w/w of the polymer was found to give good flexible films. Hence, dibutyl phthalate was included as a plasticizer in the preparation of cellulose acetate and ethyl cellulose films at a concentration of 40% w/w of the polymer (or 2% w/v of the polymer solution.).

The cellulose acetate films made from its solution in dichloromethane-methanol (8:2) and ethyl cellulose films made from its solution in acetone-methanol (8:2), incorporating dibutyl phthalate were found to be brittle. In those cases propylene glycol at 40% w/w of the polymer was found to give good flexible films.

All the films prepared were evaluated for uniformity of thickness, folding endurance, water vapour transmission and drug diffusion and permeability characteristics. Thickness measurements of films prepared in various solvents are given in Table 1. Low standard deviation values in the film thickness measurements ensured uniformity of thickness in each film. The method of moulding was found to be given reproducible results with regard to film thickness. The folding endurance was measured manually and folding endurance was found to be high in ethyl cellulose films compared with cellulose acetate films. Folding endurance is decreased in the order of films in various solvents is as follows in both cases. Ethyl acetate-methanol (8:2)>acetone-methanol (8:2)> dichloromethane-methanol (8:2)>chloroform-methanol (8:2).

Water vapour transmission studies indicated that all the films prepared (both cellulose acetate and ethyl cellulose) were permeable to water vapour. Water vapour transmission through the films followed zero order kinetics. The results are given in Table 1 and shown in figs. 1A and B.

The water vapour transmission (Q) was more in the case of cellulose acetate films when compared to ethyl cellulose. Water vapour transmission values indicating that the cellulose acetate films were more permeable to water vapour. The rate of water vapour transmission was decreased in the order of films in various solvents is as follows in both cases. Ethylacetate-methanol (8:2)>acetone-methanol (8:2)> dichloromethane-methanol (8:2)>chloroform-methanol (8:2).

Drug diffusion through various films was studied with propranolol hydrochloride as a model drug by using

Formulation	Thickness (µm)	Folding endurance	Water vapour	Permeability coefficient
			transmission	(pm×10 ³
			cm ² 24 h)	<u></u> , c,
F1 (EC;A+M)	45.95±0.15	198	4.01	2.28
F2 (EC;DCM+M)	45.30±0.17	223	3.779	1.27
F3 (EC;C+M)	44.28±0.26	286	2.848	1.03
F4 (EC;EA+M)	46.70±0.26	164	4.165	2.37
F5 (CA;A+M)	49.88±0.65	129	6.115	3.36
F6 (CA;DCM+M)	54.25±0.37	196	5.615	2.64
F7 (CA;C+M)	56.75±0.15	234	5.38	1.86
F8 (CA;EA+M)	51.40±0.28	132	6.498	4.27

EC: Ethyl cellulose; CA: Cellulose acetate; A: Acetone; DCM: Dichloromethane; C: Chloroform; EA: Ethyl acetate and M: Methanol



Fig. 1: Water vapour transmission profiles of (A) ethyl cellulose films and (B) cellulose acetate films casted with various solvents (A) F1, ethyl cellulose films prepared with acetone $(-\Phi-)$; F2, ethyl cellulose films prepared with dichloromethane $(-\bullet-)$; F3, ethyl cellulose films prepared with chloroform $(-\Delta-)$; F4, ethyl cellulose films prepared with ethyl acetate $(-\times-)$; (B) F5, cellulose acetate films prepared with acetone $(-\Phi-)$; F6 cellulose acetate films prepared with dichloromethane $(-\bullet-)$; F7 cellulose acetate films prepared with chloroform $(-\Delta-)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(-\times-)$

Franz diffusion cell. All the films were found to be permeable to propranolol hydrochloride and diffusion profiles are shown in figs. 2A and 2B. Diffusion flux was calculated and same were shown in figs. 3A and 3B. Permeability coefficient values (Pm) of the films towards the propranolol hydrochloride was calculated from the drug diffusion data and the results were



Fig. 2: Diffusion profiles of propranolol hydrochloride (A) ethyl cellulose films and (B) cellulose acetate films casted with various solvents

(A) F1, ethyl cellulose films prepared with acetone (- -); F2, ethyl cellulose films prepared with dichloromethane (- -); F3, ethyl cellulose films prepared with chloroform (- -); F4, ethyl cellulose films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; (B) F5, cellulose acetate films prepared with acetone (- -); F6 cellulose acetate films prepared with dichloromethane (- -); F7 cellulose acetate films prepared with chloroform (- -); F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared $(- \times -)$; F8 cellulose acetate films pre

given in Table 1. The rate of permeability coefficient was decreased in the order of films in various solvents is as follows in both cases. Ethylacetate-methanol (8:2)>acetone-methanol (8:2)> dichloromethanemethanol (8:2)>chloroform-methanol (8:2).

The correlation coefficient values (r) were reported

 TABLE 2: DIFFUSION CHARACTERISTICS OF PROPRANOLOL HYDROCHLORIDE FROM ETHYL CELLULOSE AND

 CELLULOSE ACETATE FILMS PREPARED WITH VARIOUS ORGANIC SOLVENTS

Formulation	Correlation coefficient (r) values		Zero order rate constant (k) value (mg/h)	Diffusion exponent value (n)	Area of patch for desired release rate (cm²)
	Zero order	Peppas model			
F1 (EC;A+M)	0.9977	0.9994	2.4476	1.0644	2.14
F2 (EC;DCM+M)	0.9982	0.9983	1.3771	1.0524	3.8
F3 (EC;C+M)	0.9985	0.9986	1.1570	1.0416	4.53
F4 (EC;EA+M)	0.9800	0.9987	2.5218	1.3116	2.10
F5 (CA;A+M)	0.9978	0.9996	3.3127	1.0772	1.58
F6 (CA;DCM+M)	0.9978	0.9990	2.3945	1.0574	2.19
F7 (CA;C+M)	0.9989	0.9996	1.6164	1.0360	3.24
F8 (CA;EA+M)	0.9761	0.9971	4.0809	1.3147	1.29

EC: Ethyl cellulose; CA: Cellulose acetate; A: Acetone; DCM: Dichloromethane; C: Chloroform; EA: Ethyl acetate and M: Methanol



Fig. 3: Diffusion flux of propranolol hydrochloride through (A) ethyl cellulose films and (B) cellulose acetate films. Films prepared with various solvents

(A) F1, ethyl cellulose films prepared with acetone $(-\Phi-)$; F2, ethyl cellulose films prepared with dichloromethane $(-\bullet-)$; F3, ethyl cellulose films prepared with chloroform $(-\Delta-)$; F4, ethyl cellulose films prepared with ethyl acetate $(-\times-)$; (B) F5, cellulose acetate films prepared with acetone $(-\Phi-)$; F6 cellulose acetate films prepared with dichloromethane $(-\bullet-)$; F7 cellulose acetate films prepared with chloroform $(-\Delta-)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(-\times-)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(-\times-)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(-\times-)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(-\times-)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(-\times-)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(-\times-)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(-\times-)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(-\times-)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(-\times-)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(-\times-)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(-\times-)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(-\times-)$; F8 cellulose acetate films prepared with ethyl acetate $(-\times-)$

in Table 2. These values revealed that the diffusion profiles follow zero order kinetics (fig. 2) and the mechanism of drug release was governed by Peppas model. The diffusion exponent of release profiles (slope) has a value of 1.0360-1.3147 (n>1), which indicates non anomalous transport diffusion¹⁴. The results obtained in the present study thus indicated that the polymers and solvents used in the preparation of films have been shown significant influence on the water vapour transmission, drug diffusion and permeability of the films. Area of patches required for desired release rate were calculated and are reported in Table 2. Area of patches ranging from 1.29-4.53 cm² were found to yielding the desired release rate

of propranolol hydrochloride. Cellulose acetate films employed with ethyl acetate:methanol in 8:2 ratio as casting solvent yielded low area (1.29 cm²) of patch with desired release rate.

REFERENCES

- Lee SJ, Kim SW. Temperature and pH-response swelling behavior of poly (2-ethyl-2-oxazoline)/chitosan interpenetrating polymer network hydrogels. J Control Release 1987;82:3-6.
- Arwidson H, Johanson B. Application of intrinsic viscosity and interaction constant as a formulation tool for film coating. Int J Pharm 1991;76:91-7.
- Aziz AS Anderson W. The influence of casting solvent composition on structure and permeability of acrylic-methacrylic ester copolymer films. J Pharm Pharmcol 1976;28:801-5.
- Spitel J, Kinget R. Preparation and evaluation of free films: Influence of method of preparation and solvent composition upon the permeability. Pharma Act Helv 1977;52:47-50.
- Crawford RR, Esmerin OK. Effect of plasticizers on some physical properties of cellulose acetate phthalate films. J Pharm Sci 1971;60:312-5.
- Spitael J, Kinget R. Preparation and evaluation of free films: Influence of plasticizers and filler upon the permeability. Pharma Acta Helv 1977;52:106-8.
- Murad F. Goodman and Gilman's Pharmacological basis of therapeutics. *In*; Gilman AG, Rall WT, Nies SA, Taylor P, editors. 9th ed. New York: McGraw Hill Co., Inc.: 1996. p. 762.
- Khurana R, Ahuja A, Khar RK. Development and evaluation of mucoadhesive films of miconazole nitrate. Indian J Pharm Sci 2000;62:447-53.
- Kulkarni R, Doddayya H, Marihal S, Patil C, Habbu P. Comparative evaluation of polymeric films for transdermal applications. Eastern Pharmacist 2000;43:109-12.
- Paranjyothy KL, Thampi PP. Development of transdermal patches of verapamil hydrochloride using sodium carboxymethyl guar as a monolithic polymeric matrix and their invitro release studies. Indian J Pharm Sci 1997;52:49-54.
- 11. Indian Pharmacopoeia, Vol. II, 4th ed. New Delhi: The Controller of Publications; 1996. p. 634.
- Salomon CJ, Bravo SA, Lamas MA. *In vitro* studies of diclofenac sodium controlled-release from biopolymeric hydrophilic matrices. J Pharm Pharmaceut Sci 2002;5:213-9.
- 13. Robinson JR, Eriksen SP. Theoretical formulation of sustained-release dosage forms. J Pharm Sci 1966;55:1254-8.
- Wise DL. Hand book of pharmaceutical controlled release technology, 1st ed. Cambridge: Marcel Dekker, Cambridge Scientific Inc: 2005. p. 187-8.

Accepted 21 September 2007 Revised 2 July 2007 Received 2 September 2006 Indian J. Pharm. Sci., 2007, 69 (5): 646-650