Effect of Solvents and Extraction Methods on Forskolin Content from *Coleus forskohlii* Roots

PRITEE SINGH* AND M. A. SURYANARAYANA

Division of Plant Physiology and Biochemistry, 1Division of Ornamental and Medicinal Crops, ICAR- Indian Institute of Horticultural Research, Hessaraghatta Lake Post, Bengaluru-560 089, India

Singh et al.: Effect of Solvents and Extraction Methods on Forskolin

*Coleus forskohlii* roots are mainly used for extraction of the bioactive diterpenoid, forskolin, which has enormous biological activities. In this study, impact of the solvent and extraction method on forskolin content from *Coleus forskohlii* root powder was investigated. Nine solvents from different polarity group were tested for forskolin extraction. It was found that the efficiency of the solvents used to extract forskolin varied considerably (0.18 to 2.91 %). The average values indicated that among all the extractives, forskolin content was significantly higher in methanol (2.91 %), followed by ethanol (2.59 %), and least content was found in water (0.18 %). Low polarity solvents were not found very effective for forskolin extraction. Further three different extraction methods (Soxhlet/refluxing, ultrasound and microwave-assisted solvent extraction) were also compared using safest and most effective solvent for forskolin yield. As ethanol exhibited good extraction efficiency for forskolin and is a safe solvent, it was chosen for further optimization of extraction method. Soxhlet extraction technique gave higher yield of forskolin (0.17 to 2.83 %) compared to microwave-assisted solvent extraction (0.09 to 2.29 %) and ultra sound-assisted extraction (0.08 to 1.47 %). From these results it was evident that the extraction of forskolin depended on extraction process as well as the solvent useds.
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*Coleus forskohlii* is an important medicinal plant grown in the tropical and subtropical regions of Asia and Africa including India and is well known for its medicinal uses[1]. The tuberous roots of *C. forskohlii* produce forskolin (diterpenoid, fig. 1), which has been used in traditional as well as modern medicines. In the
traditional system of medicine, stem and roots are being used as analgesic, antiinflammatory, antihemorrhoidal and anthelmintic agent. Apart from this, it is also helpful in treatment of hypertension, glaucoma, piles, inflammation, cough, tumour, skin diseases, ascites, gastric problems, spleen diseases, constipation, anorexia and anuria[2,3]. Currently medicinal plants are sought for development of safe drugs[4]. Phytoconstituent present in these plants are essential in generation of different drugs. These phytoconstituents vary in physical and chemical characteristics[5] and their recovery depends on extraction technique and the nature of solvent[6,7].

Forskolin occurrence in plants is reported to be in low concentration and extraction method and solvent are the important factors, which influence the extraction efficiency of medicinally important compounds from plant material. Hence it is necessary to choose a requisite extraction solvent and extraction method for complete extraction of this bioactive compound present in Coleus.

To our knowledge, best method and solvent for extraction of forskolin have not yet been reported. The objectives of this work were (i) to study the effect of solvent on extraction of the C. forskohlii diterpenoid forskolin, and to determine the best solvent for its extraction and (ii) to study the effect of different methods for the extraction of forskolin from C. forskohlii. Standard Soxhlet extraction with different solvents was used in order to determine the best solvent for extraction of forskolin from C. forskohlii. Further, to standardise the best extraction method, safe and most effective solvent was used with different extraction methods. This work would help to standardize the best solvent and extraction method for quantification of forskolin content in different genotypes, which would help in screening of Coleus genotypes for higher forskolin. This information would also aid in developing efficient extraction and analysis procedure, which would also help pharmaceutical industries.

High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade acetone, chloroform, di-chloromethane, ethyl acetate, ethanol, hexane, methanol, petroleum ether and acetonitrile were purchased from Merck India. Certified reference standard of forskolin obtained from Sigma-Aldrich, USA and stored at −20°.

Dried root powder of C. forskohlii was provided by Division of Floriculture and Medicinal Crops, ICAR-Indian Institute of Horticultural Research (IIHR), Hessaraghatta, Bengaluru, India. To compare different solvents, 9 pure solvents representing different polarity groups like non-polar group (hexane and petroleum ether), intermediate polarity group (ethyl acetate, di-chloromethane and chloroform) and polar group (acetone, ethanol, methanol and water) were used in extraction process. Five grams of Coleus root powder was extracted for 5 h in a Soxhlet using 150 ml of each solvent.

To evaluate different extraction methods, 3 safe solvents were used, water, water-ethanol (9:1) mixture and pure ethanol with Soxhlet, microwave and ultrasonic methods of extraction. For Soxhlet, 5 g of root powder was extracted with 150 ml solvent for 5 h using these safe solvents. For microwave-assisted solvent extraction (MASE), 5 g of sample was mixed with 50 ml of all the 3 solvents separately in beakers. Beakers were placed in the middle of the rotating plate of a microwave oven (LG Electronics, India, model: Intello wave) and extracted for 5, 10 and 20 min. Ultrasound assisted extraction (UASE) was carried out using a sonicator at 20 kHz (Sonics Vibra cell, model: VC505, S/N: 61588AC-02-11). About 5 g of ground powder was mixed with 50 ml of distilled water, ethanol and water-ethanol (9:1) separately in beakers and extraction was carried out for 5, 10 and 20 min. All the extraction experiments were performed thrice. To separate the liquid extract in the above extraction
methods, they were filtered and concentrated using a rotary evaporator. For the analysis the dried extracts were dissolved in 50 ml methanol and filtered through 0.45 microns membrane filter paper for HPLC analysis.

Quantitative estimation of forskolin content was performed as per the method described in literature\(^8\) using a Shimadzu Nexera X2 ultra high-performance liquid chromatograph with PDA detector adjusted to 220 nm. Stainless steel column (250×4.6 mm) packed with octadecylsilane bonded to porous silica (5 µ) and acetonitrile-distilled water (45:55) was used as mobile phase. Flow rate was adjusted to 1.5 ml/min and sample volume of 20 µl was injected. Retention time of forskolin and total run time was 15.93 min (fig. 2) and 25 min, respectively.

Data was analysed using the Statistical Analysis System software (SAS version 9.3) to determine the effects of solvent and extraction method on forskolin content. The results were statistically analysed by ANOVA and means were compared using Tukey’s test. The level of statistical significance was set at p≤0.05.

Most of the biologically active compounds occurring in plants have been used for commercial application in the manufacture of drugs, flavours and pesticides because of presence of antioxidant, antibacterial, antihypertensive and antiinflammatory properties\[^9\]. But occurrences of these bioactive compounds in plants are meagre. Therefore, it is necessary to select suitable extraction solvent as well as extraction method to obtain maximum extraction efficiency of a particular compound. Extraction efficiency of bioactive compounds is influenced by the chemical nature of the compound, method of extraction and the solvents used for extraction\[^10\]. Apart from this, the yield of extract also depends on solvent polarity, pH, temperature and extraction time\[^11\]. Therefore, the present study was carried out to see the effect of solvents and methods of extraction on forskolin content in \textit{C. forskholii}.

Data pertaining to forskolin content obtained from different solvent extracts are presented in Table 1. The results indicated that highest forskolin content was obtained from methanol (2.91 %) and ethanol (2.59 %), which are polar in nature while minimum forskolin content was observed in water (0.18 %), since most terpenoid are hydrophobic and difficult to dissolve in water\[^12\]. It is well known that high polarity, low viscosity, surface tension and vapor pressure will play a key role in increasing the terpenoid yield\[^13\] and because of these properties methanol gave the highest yield of forskolin. Solvents with lower polarity such as n-hexane (0.93 %) and petroleum ether (0.28 %), and intermediate polarity solvents such as ethyl acetate (1.60 %), dichloromethane (0.41 %) and chloroform (0.33 %) were not found to be very effective in extracting forskolin (Table 1). Cell wall permeability and contact between the solvent and solid is improved by polar solvents which increased the extraction yield\[^14\]. These results agree with the work reported by Kumoro \textit{et al.}\[^15\]. They also found methanol followed by ethanol as best solvent for extraction of andrographolide (a diterpenoid) from \textit{Andrographis paniculata}. In the past similar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Polarity index</th>
<th>Boiling point (°)</th>
<th>Forskolin content (g/100 g dried roots)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chloroform</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.33±0.055(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di-chloromethane</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.41±0.036(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexane</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0.93±0.040(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum ether</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>42-62</td>
<td>0.28±0.007(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.50±0.106(^e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl acetate</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1.60±0.092(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2.59±0.108(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanol</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.91±0.026(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.18±0.029(^b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Forskolin content represent the mean of three replicates (mean±SD, n=3). Means with the same superscripts are not significantly different (p<0.05).
studies have been reported, in which extractability of acetone, methanol, ethanol and water for extracting antioxidants and phenolic compounds\(^{[16,17]}\), methanol, ethanol, ethyl acetate, water, and acetone for extracting polyphenols and antimicrobial compounds\(^{[18]}\), water, methanol, ethanol, acetone, ethyl acetate and acidic methanol for extracting antioxidants and phenolic compounds were compared\(^{[19]}\).

The data regarding forskolin content extracted using Soxhlet/refluxing, MASE and UASE are summarized in Table 2. Among these methods the maximum forskolin content was extracted by Soxhlet extraction (2.83 %). In many other cases as well, Soxhlet extraction was found most effective for extraction of terpenoids and sterols from tobacco\(^{[20]}\), and cucurbitacin from wild bottle gourd\(^{[21]}\). This might be due to thermal degradation and oxidation of most of the bioactive compounds in MASE, which might not happen in Soxhlet extraction\(^{[22-24]}\). While in case of UASE use of ultrasound energy at higher frequencies (>20 kHz) have a negative impact on bioactive compounds because of formation of free radicals\(^{[25,20]}\). Though there were many studies that reported MASE\(^{[26,27]}\) and UASE\(^{[28,29]}\) to be most effective for isolation of bioactive compounds, which could be that the effectiveness of extraction depended on the nature of compound and plant species.

In the Soxhlet extraction method, maximum forskolin content was found in the ethanol extract (2.83 %) followed by water-ethanol extract (0.26 %). Minimum forskolin content was found in water extract (0.17 %). For MASE and UASE methods extraction times of 5, 10 and 20 min were used. Using the MASE method, ethanol extracted maximum forskolin content (2.29 %, 5 min) followed by water-ethanol (0.31 %, 20 min) while, the minimum forskolin content was extracted by water (0.09 %, 5 min). In case of the UASE method, it was found that the yield increased with increasing the time of exposure to sonication. The ethanol extract yielded maximum forskolin (1.47 %, 20 min), while the water extract yielded the minimum (0.08 %, 5 min). In all the three extraction methods ethanol extracted maximum forskolin compared to the other extracts. The reason behind this could be insolubility of forskolin in water even though the polarity of water is high. Also this might be due to extraction efficiency of solvents which depended on solubility of compound in the solvents\(^{[29]}\).

To conclude, polar solvents like methanol followed by ethanol extracted highest forskolin content in all the extraction methods employed and Soxhlet extraction method was found to be the best. The findings of this study could prove to be important for practical pharmacy for better extraction of forskolin.
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