Male infertility is a multifactorial syndrome with an extensive range of causative conditions from anatomical problems to undesirable lifestyle and environmental factors. Age, diet, workout, stress, usage of recreational and prescription drugs, alcohol drinking, cigarette smoking, regular consumption of caffeine, preventive care and environmental and occupational exposures may have a positive or negative impact on fertility. Environmental or lifestyle factors cause oxidative stress in germ lines of men, which increases the risk of getting childhood cancer and other congenital diseases in their progenies. However, until now, studies reported controversial results about the effects of toxic substances among these lifestyle and environmental factors on spermatozoa. Such conflicting data could be because of the studies were conducted either in general (healthy) men or infertile men. When it comes to unhealthy lifestyle factors, smoking and alcohol consumption usually comes on top of the list. Many earlier studies reported the harmful effect of cigarette smoking on sperm quality, hormonal imbalance, higher seminal leukocyte concentrations and its correlation with increased reactive oxygen species (ROS) level. However, the negative effect of cigarette smoking on male reproductive health is refuted by other studies that included fertile smoking men with children. Mostafa explained that smoking might not affect fertility potential in some smokers, but it would be advantageous for smokers with low semen quality to quit smoking. Furthermore, tobacco smoke included several mutagens, which can affect the future generations. Genotoxic substances in cigarette smoke can affect DNA integrity and viability of spermatozoa.

Heavy alcohol drinking (≥5 episodes of binge drinking in the past month) has been reported to
be associated with increased ROS generation\cite{16}. Ethanol when metabolized in the body, one of the metabolites, acetaldehyde interacts with proteins and lipids to produce protein adducts and peroxidation of lipids, respectively\cite{17}. Maneesh et al. concluded that oxidative stress in the testes of alcoholics significantly lowers testosterone and antioxidant activities along with increased lipid peroxidation (LPO) levels\cite{18}. Moreover, Varshini et al. concluded that a negative effect of alcohol consumption is associated with the damage of sperm DNA\cite{19}. According literature, very little evidence is found regarding the mechanisms of alcohol-induced oxidative damage to the male reproductive system. Till now, numerous studies reported a negative impact of imbalanced seminal plasma antioxidant or oxidative stress markers on semen parameters in infertile men\cite{20,21,22,23}. Most of these studies compared the correlation between antioxidant activities of blood and seminal plasma on sperm profile in infertile men and confirmed their close relationship with each other\cite{24,25}. Researchers observed that similar to seminal plasma antioxidants, blood antioxidants also associated with semen quality in infertile men as compared with fertile (healthy) controls\cite{24}. These findings suggest that blood antioxidants could be a valuable diagnostic tool for evaluating sperm quality potential\cite{26}. Therefore, this study mainly focused on blood antioxidants since their correlation with sperm quality has been less emphasized. In this study, an attempt was made to assess the effects of smoking and alcohol drinking on sperm quality, male reproductive hormone levels, oxidative stress, malondialdehyde (MDA), nitric oxide (NO) and antioxidant profiles that included superoxide dismutase (SOD), reduced glutathione (GSHr) to find a correlation between sperm quality and oxidative damage level of smoking plus alcohol-exposed infertile men.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Thiobarbituric acid (TBA), butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), n-butanol solution, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT), reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) and phenazine methosulfate (PMS) were obtained from HiMedia Pvt. Ltd, Mumbai. All other supplementary reagents used were of analytical grade.

Study design and participants:

In this retrospective observational study, the sample size was calculated by using a two-sided test. Hence, 489 men were recruited from the outpatient department of Sandhya Fertility Clinic, Vellore, India, who visited for the treatment of primary couple's infertility. Out of 489 recruited men, 354 men were approved to participate in this research. Institutional Ethical Committee of VIT approved this study with human subjects (reference no. VIT/IECH/004b/April 16, 2016). Demographic information, semen, and blood samples were collected from all of the volunteers after obtaining written informed consent. From those 354 volunteers, 97 men were excluded from this study who fulfilled the following exclusion criteria, participants who described with secondary couple infertility (due to presence of other co-factors that might interfere with interpretations of this study), subjects with history of Y chromosome microdeletion, chromosomal abnormalities, abnormal liver function, cryptorchidism, vasectomy, the use of recreational drug (e.g. marijuana or any narcotic agents), antioxidant or medicinal therapy in the past 3 months before enrolment or exposure to gonadotoxins such as radiotherapy, chemotherapy and/or pesticides.

Finally 257 men were included in this study who fulfilled the following inclusion criteria, men of 18 to 45 y of age with a report of primary couple infertility and had smoking and/or drinking habits. Patients only with male factor infertility were included, which is confirmed after a complete gynecological evaluation of the female partners. Healthy Men with normal semen parameters and proven paternity without assisted reproductive technologies (ART) were selected as the controls. All patients were subjected to a routine follow up as complete genital and physical examination, hormonal and semen assessment before starting any treatment. Among 257 participants, 124 fertile men were considered as controls and 133 were primary infertile men. Total participants were divided into different groups according to their smoking and/or drinking habits such as, fertile control subject-smokers (29), alcohol drinkers (33), smokers+alcohol drinkers (34) and total abstainers (who never smoked or drank alcohol before 28), Infertile subjects- smokers (30), alcohol drinkers (34), smokers+alcohol drinkers (37) and total abstainers (32; fig. 1). Among included participants, smokers have smoked at least 20 cigarettes/day or more for at least one year, plus alcohol drinkers were drinking at least 40 g of alcohol daily for at least one year.
Questionnaire and physical examination:
A questionnaire containing demographic information, medical history, smoking and/or alcohol consumption habits, use of any other drugs was noted from all volunteers with the help of a trained clinician before the physical investigation. Overall physical, as well as genital analysis, was done by a certified clinician. Furthermore, semen and blood samples were collected from volunteers. Only one sample per participant was involved in this study.

Semen analysis, blood collection, serum separation and hormone assay:
All men gave a semen sample by masturbation in a semen collection room. The time of sexual abstinence was for 4-6 d. The ejaculate sample was collected in a sterile, dry, leak-proof wide-mouth container. The collected semen samples were allowed to liquefy in an incubator at 37° and the macroscopic and microscopic examination was performed according to the World Health Organization, 2010 guidelines[27]. The variables taken in consideration were semen volume (ml), sperm concentration (n×10^6/ml), total sperm motility (progressive+non-progressive %), morphologically normal sperms (%) and seminal leukocyte concentration (n×10^6/ml).

From all the subjects fasting blood samples were collected after centrifugation (1000 g 15 min after blood coagulation) within 1 h of sample collection. The serum was stored at −20° for future examinations like either hormone or oxidative damage parameters[28]. The male reproductive hormones in serum samples were measured using Cobas e411 analyser with platform electrochemiluminescence immunoassay technique (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) and the normal range for LH, FSH, testosterone, and prolactin hormones were 4-11 mIU/ml, 2-10 mIU/ml, 2-12 ng/ml and 2-18 ng/ml, respectively[29].

Examination of oxidative stress and antioxidant measurement:
LPO[30] levels in serum were measured by a modified TBA assay method. Thirty microliters serum, 150 μl of homogenization buffer and 150 μl TBA reagent were mixed. Additionally, 2 μl of BHT was added to hinder LPO artificially in the experiment. This mixture was incubated at 100° for 15 min. Then, the solution was thawed at room temperature. n-Butanol solution (0.3 ml) was added to the above mixture and centrifuged at 15 000 rpm for 3 min. The fluorescence was read at 530 nm by an ELISA plate reader (ELx800 from BioTEK). The final concentration was calculated according to the MDA standard curve. MDA levels are denoted as μM. NO[31] was evaluated in serum using Griess reagent. The absorbance was calculated at 540 nm that describes exact nitrite concentrations (NO_2^−). The concentration was calculated according
to the sodium nitrite standard curve. NO$_2^-$ levels were expressed as µM/ml.

SOD was determined via the microplate method, and it was denoted by the previously reported method in blood serum[32]. In detail, 25 µl of serum was added to 200 µl of freshly prepared mixture consist of EDTA (0.1 mM), NBT (62 µM), NADH (98 µM) in phosphate buffer at pH 7.4 (50 mM). Then, 25 µl of PMS (33 µM in 50 mM of phosphate buffer at pH 7.4 that contains 0.1 mM EDTA) was added into the mixture. The final mixture was incubated for 5 min at room temperature and measured at 560 nm using an ELISA plate reader. The SOD activity was expressed as U/g protein.

GSH$_r$ levels of the serum were determined using the human reduced glutathione ELISA kit (K12-5407, Kinesis Dx, USA). GSH$_r$ concentrations were expressed as µmol/l. The data calculations, calibration, and standard curve fitting were completed according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The protein amount in serum was assessed by Bradford’s method using bovine serum albumin as the standard[33].

Statistical analysis:

Statistical analysis was done using the GraphPad version 5.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Results were expressed as mean±standard deviation (SD). To determine significant differences in MDA, NO, SOD, GSH$_r$, semen, and reproductive hormones levels between fertile and infertile men with respect to their smoking and/or alcohol habits, pair-wise comparisons between the study groups were made using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the post-hoc Tukey’s multiple comparison test. Pearson’s correlation analysis was performed to determine the coefficients of correlations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

General and clinical features of the fertile and infertile participants according to their smoking and/or alcohol use are described in Table 1. Age in years and body-mass index (BMI) in kg/m$^2$ of fertile (36.72±4.06 and 20.99±1.3) and infertile men (36.69±4.5 and 21.24±1.25) did not differ significantly with respect to their smoking and/or alcohol use. Among the volunteers participated, most of the cases studied up to high school and were residing in urban areas.

Semen parameters, along with male reproductive hormone levels, were described in Table 2. Semen volume, sperm concentration, total sperm motility and normal forms of sperm were normal in smokers and/or alcohol drinker and total abstainer groups of fertile donors whereas, these parameters were significantly lower in smokers, alcohol drinkers, smokers+alcohol drinkers and total abstainers among infertile subjects (p<0.001). Furthermore, these parameters were significantly lower, especially in smokers+alcohol drinkers group than other subgroups of infertile men. Seminal leukocyte concentrations in smokers and/or alcohol drinkers groups of infertile men were significantly higher specifically in smokers+alcohol

| TABLE 1: GENERAL AND CLINICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDY PARTICIPANTS IN TERMS OF SMOKING AND/OR ALCOHOL USE STATUS |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|
| Covariates                      | Age (y)      | Education       | Area            | BMI (kg/m²) |
|                                | Illiterate (%) | High school (12th) (%) | Graduate or higher (%) | Rural (%) | Urban (%) |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------|
| Fertile subjects               |              |                 |                 |        |
| Total (124)                    | 36.72±4.06   | 16.1            | 62              | 21.7   | 27.4    | 72.5    | 20.99±1.3 |
| Smokers (29)                   | 37.2±2.19    | 13.7            | 62              | 24.1   | 27.5    | 72.4    | 20.96±1.05 |
| Alcohol drinkers (33)          | 37.69±3.81   | 18.1            | 60              | 21.2   | 24.2    | 75.7    | 21.12±1.26 |
| Smoker+alcohol drinkers (34)   | 36.61±5.07   | 17.6            | 61.1            | 20.5   | 29.4    | 70.5    | 21.14±1.1  |
| Total abstainers (28)          | 35.21±4.21   | 14.2            | 64.2            | 21.4   | 28.5    | 71.4    | 20.67±1.76 |
| Infertile subjects             |              |                 |                 |        |
| Total (133)                    | 36.69±4.5    | 15.7            | 63.1            | 21     | 27      | 72.9    | 21.24±1.25 |
| Smokers (30)                   | 35.33±5.7    | 16.6            | 63.3            | 20     | 26.6    | 73.3    | 20.8±1.64  |
| Alcohol drinkers (34)          | 37.94±3.5    | 11.7            | 61.7            | 26.4   | 26.4    | 73.5    | 21.44±1.15 |
| Smoker+alcohol drinkers (37)   | 37.05±4.34   | 16.21           | 64.8            | 18.9   | 27      | 72.9    | 21.24±1.23 |
| Total abstainers (n=32)        | 36.21±4.12   | 18.25           | 62.5            | 18.75  | 28.1    | 71.8    | 21.46±0.8  |

Data are expressed as the mean±standard deviation when appropriate or as percent (%) when categorical. (One-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test)
TABLE 2: SEMEN PARAMETERS IN FERTILE AND INFERTILE SUBJECTS IN TERMS OF SMOKING AND/ OR ALCOHOL USE STATUS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covariates</th>
<th>Semen volume (ml)</th>
<th>Sperm concentration (x10^6/ml)</th>
<th>Sperm total motility (%)</th>
<th>Sperm morphology (% normal forms)</th>
<th>Seminal leukocytes (x10^3/µl)</th>
<th>FSH (mIU/ml)</th>
<th>LH (mIU/ml)</th>
<th>Testosterone (ng/ml)</th>
<th>Prolactin (ng/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fertile subjects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (124)</td>
<td>3.23 ±0.58*</td>
<td>85.12 ±23.38*</td>
<td>56.33 ±1.6*</td>
<td>56.33±7.16* 0.08±0.18*</td>
<td>4.81 ±2.13*</td>
<td>6.67 ±1.40*</td>
<td>7.3±2.06*</td>
<td>8.69±3.16*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers (29)</td>
<td>3.22 ±0.65</td>
<td>81.34 ±19.94</td>
<td>54.65 ±5.77</td>
<td>22.37±4.66 0.1±0.2</td>
<td>4.91 ±2.38</td>
<td>7.05 ±1.35</td>
<td>7.97±1.54</td>
<td>9.31±3.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol drinkers</td>
<td>3.32 ±0.72</td>
<td>88.27 ±19.44</td>
<td>57.24 ±7.54</td>
<td>24.81±5.3 0±0</td>
<td>5.48 ±2.18</td>
<td>6.68 ±1.48</td>
<td>7.17±1.66</td>
<td>9.2±3.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker+alcohol</td>
<td>2.92 ±1.12</td>
<td>80.79 ±23.93</td>
<td>53.7 ±7.2</td>
<td>22.47±3.79 0.2±0.2</td>
<td>4.84 ±1.92</td>
<td>6.35 ±1.39</td>
<td>6.59±2.08</td>
<td>7.64±3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total abstainers</td>
<td>3.52 ±2.3</td>
<td>90.57 ±10.17</td>
<td>60.17 ±6.37*</td>
<td>26.21±4.55 0±0</td>
<td>3.86 ±1.77*</td>
<td>6.67 ±1.33</td>
<td>7.63±2.65</td>
<td>8.75±2.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Infertile subjects** |                   |                                |                          |                                  |                               |              |             |                     |                  |
| Total (133)          | 1.47 ±0.68*       | 36.95 ±24.08                  | 47.45 ±9.18*             | 47.45±9.18 1.28±0.75*           | 10.03 ±3.3*                  | 9.91 ±2.21* | 2.58±1.88   | 8.57±3.62           |                  |
| Smokers (30)         | 1.29 ±0.28*       | 25.53 ±6.56                   | 41.3 ±4.65*              | 4.4±3.69 1.6±0.4                | 10.8 ±3.1*                   | 11.13 ±0.67* | 1.71±1.75   | 8.94±3.57           |                  |
| Alcohol drinkers     | 1.67 ±0.71*       | 41.23 ±6.31                   | 53.41 ±6.92*             | 19.44±4.87 1.5±0.4             | 10.2 ±2.21*                  | 7.2 ±1.35  | 3.25±1.5*   | 7.87±3.59           |                  |
| Smoker+alcohol       | 1.12 ±0.67*       | 14.24 ±4.51                   | 40.05 ±2.68*             | 3.48±3.84 1.8±0.2              | 11.05 ±4.67*                 | 11.3 ±0.92* | 1.64±1.67* | 9.06±3.93           |                  |
| Total abstainers     | 1.85 ±0.69*       | 69.37 ±23.16                   | 55.43 ±8.83*             | 18.31±5.44 0.1±0.2*            | 7.9 ±2.39*                   | 9.12 ±2.79* | 3.78±1.67* | 8.41±3.39           |                  |

Data are expressed as the mean±standard deviation. *p values indicate significant difference by control group. †p values indicate significant difference by control group (among total abstainers). ‡p; †‡p; †p; †‡p values indicate significant difference by smokers versus total abstainers; alcohol drinkers versus total abstainers; smokers+alcohol drinkers versus total abstainers; smokers versus alcohol drinkers; alcohol drinkers versus smokers+alcohol drinkers versus total abstainers; smokers versus smokers+alcohol drinkers (for direct comparison of smokers+alcohol drinkers with total abstainers among infertile men).

drinkers group when compared to total abstainers group in fertile men along with fertile men subgroups (p<0.001). In fertile participants, seminal leukocyte concentrations in smokers and/or alcohol drinkers groups were higher than the total abstainers group but were not significantly different. Amongst 133 infertile men, 101 men were smokers and/or alcohol drinkers who showed significantly higher FSH, LH and lower testosterone levels than those of 124 fertile men (p<0.001). However, infertile men did not demonstrate any significant difference in prolactin hormone level when compared to the control of fertile subgroups. Among infertile men subgroups, smokers+alcohol drinkers group was more affected than other smokers and/or alcohol drinkers subgroups.

LPO concentrations were expressed as serum MDA content in the smokers (57.3±2.55), and alcohol drinkers (51.96±4.82), smokers+alcohol drinkers (60.43±6.59) and total abstainers (45.53±3.34) of infertile patients was found to be significantly higher than that of same smokers and/or alcohol drinkers groups in fertile men (p<0.001). Also, the mean NO levels in the serum of smokers and/or alcohol drinkers groups of infertile men were significantly higher when compared to the corresponding fertile men subgroups (p<0.001). Both MDA and NO concentrations among the smokers and/or alcohol drinkers subgroups of fertile men showed higher levels than those of total abstainers, while their mean levels were not significantly different; whereas in infertile men it was significantly different. Moreover, these levels were significantly elevated in smokers+alcohol drinkers group, particularly when compared to only smokers or alcohol drinkers subgroups in infertile men (Table 3).

Table 3 demonstrates SOD and GSHr levels in serum of fertile and infertile subjects. The SOD activity and GSHr levels were significantly lower in infertile men than those of fertile men (p<0.001). In statistical comparisons of SOD and GSHr status, it was noted that smokers and/or alcohol drinker’s subgroups of fertile men showed lower mean values than those of total abstainers in fertile men, but these values were
not significant; however, in infertile men values were significantly lower. Among smokers and/or alcohol drinkers groups of infertile men, smokers+alcohol drinkers group was more affected than the other exposed subgroups.

Table 4 indicates Pearson's correlation analysis between antioxidant and sperm parameters in all participants. MDA levels in serum were significantly negatively correlated with sperm concentration, motility, and morphology (p<0.001). NO levels in the blood plasma were also significantly negatively correlated, but only with the sperm motility (p=0.001). On the other hand, SOD and GSHr levels in blood were significantly positively associated with sperm concentration, sperm motility, and sperm morphology (p<0.001).

Nicotine in cigarette smoke and alcohol exert a detrimental influence on male reproductive function[34-36]. The most noteworthy findings of this study were, (i) significant lower sperm quality, elevated seminal leukocyte concentration and hormonal imbalance in smoking and/or alcohol used infertile men when compared with fertile donors according to their smoking and/or alcohol use (ii) significant elevation in MDA, NO concentrations and declining of SOD and GSHr levels in blood plasma of high dosage of smokers+alcohol drinkers group of infertile men with normal genital examination. (iii) LPO concentrations, SOD and GSHr activities were associated with sperm concentration, morphology, and morphology, however, NO levels were only associated with sperm motility in smokers and/or alcohol drinkers groups of infertile men (iv) both smoking and alcohol drinking combined use affected the semen quality, male reproductive hormones and antioxidant status in infertile men more than only smoking or alcohol use.

These results agreed with the findings reported by Sharma et al. that smoking affects sperm quality, but did not agree with the results reported by Dunphy et al. alcohol consumption led to increased infertility clinic population[37,38]. However, these observations were inconsistent with the general population[8,39]. In future, why this association differed...
between these two populations should be investigated. It is possible that because of increased ROS levels in infertile men, their antioxidant system is not as efficient as that of the general population, which could be the reason why their spermatozoa are more susceptible to cigarette smoke or alcohol. It appeared that this study is the first to report the combined effect of smoking and alcohol drinking significantly lowered semen quality in infertile men.

The detrimental effects of smoking and/or alcohol use on Sertoli and Leydig cells in the testis resulted in dysfunction of the male reproductive hormone system, which could be the cause of impaired semen quality\cite{36,39}. Present results of abnormal hormone levels in smokers and/or alcohol drinkers groups agreed with some of the reported studies on Indian population\cite{40}, but disagreed with other studies on different populations, which reported higher testosterone and lower LH levels\cite{9,41,42}. These changes in hormone levels among diverse populations might be due to different ethnicity and race factors\cite{43}. Otherwise, another speculation is that nicotine in cigarette smoke and alcohol caused a decrease in testosterone synthesis, which resulted in increased FSH and LH levels and lowered testosterone levels\cite{44,45}. Results obtained showed elevated FSH, LH levels and low testosterone levels by smoking and alcohol consumption confirmed the primary testicular failure, which occurred due to the failure of the feedback regulation at the pituitary level, where damaged seminiferous tubules did not generate healthy sperms\cite{40,44,46}.

One of the reasons behind male infertility is excessive generation of ROS and nitrogen species, which results in oxidative stress and declining of antioxidant levels which become a real concern in recent times\cite{47,48}. Alcohol consumption and cigarette smoking elevated ROS production, which resulted in oxidative stress and increased DNA damage subsequently\cite{14,49-53}. Results of the present investigation showed with smoking and/or alcohol use increased oxidative stress, which is similar to that reported by Aboulmaouahib et al. but not with those regarding antioxidant activities\cite{54}.

Increased ROS formation due to smoking and alcohol consumption might be correlated to a significant elevation in the leukocyte content in the semen of smokers and alcohol drinkers that was found in this study and confirmed by previous studies\cite{11,55}. The particular mechanism of elevated seminal leukocyte infiltration into the semen of smokers and alcohol drinkers in infertile men is not precise and need to be elucidated further. One possible reason could be that nicotine and its water-soluble metabolite cotinine in cigarette smoke can pass through the blood-testis barrier, which allows leukocytes to enter into the seminiferous tubules to affect spermatogenesis; consequently sperm quality affected\cite{56,57}. Another reason is that chronic alcohol use directly affects the testis, which increases seminal leukocyte infiltration, spermatozoa, and testicular autoantibodies result in testicular damage\cite{58}. Nicotine and alcohol metabolites could induce an inflammatory condition in the male genital tract with the subsequent release of cytokines (IL-6, IL-8)\cite{59,60}, and chemokines (CXCL5, CXCL8/IL-8)\cite{61}. The upregulation of toll-like receptor-2/4, cyclooxygenase-2, and nuclear factor erythroid 2 (NFE2)-related factor-2 (Nrf-2) recruit and activate leukocytes in seminal plasma\cite{62}. These activated leukocytes can produce excessive ROS in semen, resulting in oxidative stress\cite{63}.

Further, oxidative stress elevates sperm DNA damage\cite{64}. Male accessory gland infection (MAGI) such as prostatitis is also mediating through leukocyte production of ROS which mediated by cytokines (IL-1α, IL-8) and their soluble receptor (IL-1 RA) which affects sperm quality\cite{65,66}. Therefore, decreased proinflammatory mediators could give beneficial effects on the testicular inflammatory condition. Antioxidant supplementation such as carnitine, alphatocopherol along with antiinflammatory drug therapy reduces sperm leukocyte concentration, and MAGI thus may restore the oxidative sperm balance\cite{67,68} (fig. 2).

Furthermore, cigarette smoke- and alcohol-induced ROS leads to peroxidation of lipids, especially docosahexaenoic acid with other polyunsaturated fatty acids in sperm membranes\cite{25,69-71}. This excessive LPO impairs the antioxidant defence system in the male reproductive tract and leads to male infertility\cite{72}. Results obtained in this investigation showed higher MDA concentration in the blood plasma of infertile men were similar with another Indian population study where blood and semen LPO levels were correlated positively\cite{73}; hence smoking and alcohol exposure could be associated with elevated LPO levels. Shiva et al. described that high MDA levels were associated with low sperm concentration, motility, morphology and related to poor sperm quality, hence sperm membrane integrity\cite{23}. Similarly, the present results indicated that MDA levels have inversely correlated with sperm
The link between LPO and spermatozoa dysfunction might be due to the following reasons, firstly increased LPO levels could reduce membrane fluidity and loss of membrane-bound ATPase activity, which could in turn lead to loss of sperm motility. Secondly, oligozoospermic LPO could induce a refractory response to calcium signals by defective spermatozoa, which refuse to fuse with oocyte in the presence of ionophore A23187-induced acrosome reaction. Lastly, disruption of sperm membrane integrity by LPO might be responsible for abnormal sperm forms[74]. Therefore, MDA could be used as a potential oxidative stress marker for predicting ART outcomes[75,76].

NO is another most prominent example of a free radical gas, which induces oxidative stress. NO increases nicotine absorption in cigarette smokers and alcohol drinkers, which affects male reproduction and fertilization[18,77]. Taken et al. noted that higher levels of NO concentrations in blood were negatively correlated with the sperm motility, which is similar to our observations[26]. Reasons behind the correlation of NO and sperm motility are such as, a moderate amount of NO is necessary for acrosome reaction and sperm capacitation[77]. Furthermore, another reason is that when NO stimulates sperm motility via the activation of soluble guanylate cyclase, which increases cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) levels leading to activation of protein kinase G. But, when the cGMP physiological levels were impaired by NO-induced stress, human sperm motility also gets affected[78]. Studies reported that sperm motility was decreased by sodium nitroprusside, which releases NO[79]. eNOS, iNOS are essential in the normal physiology of spermatozoa, but their aberrant expressions are associated with abnormal sperm motility[80] and disturbance of steady concentration in the testis, respectively[81].

The balance between ROS generation and antioxidant defence system is disturbed, when the cellular scavenging systems cannot eliminate the increased level of ROS, which results in spermatozoa damage[82].
The scavenging potential in gonads and seminal fluid is usually maintained by sufficient levels of SOD and GSHr. SOD has been found in Sertoli cells, germ cells\textsuperscript{[83]}, epididymis and male accessory sex glands, whereas GSH found in testes\textsuperscript{[20,84]}. In the present study lower levels of SOD and GSHr could be correlated with lower sperm quality, which is similar to earlier studies in infertility clinical population\textsuperscript{[23,85,86]}. In testis, first SOD is involved in scavenging oxygen radicals, and its availability is also more than other isoenzymes\textsuperscript{[85,87,88]}. If SOD activity is reduced, then SOD levels would also be reduced in germ cells and 8-OHdG levels get increased; hence, cell apoptosis would occur. Therefore, decreased activity of SOD is correlated to increased susceptibility of spermatogenic cells to ROS; thus oxidative stress might be the reason for the correlation of SOD and reduced sperm quality in our study.

Reduced activity of SOD in the presence cigarette smoking and xanthine oxidase is due to the accumulation of superoxide (O\textsubscript{2}•\textsuperscript{-}), hydrogen peroxide (H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}), or the products of its decomposition\textsuperscript{[77]}. O\textsubscript{2}•\textsuperscript{-}, H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} are generated by NAPDH oxidase by reducing the activity of SOD, whereas reduced GSHr levels is responsible for hydroperoxides (OH•) production, including H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, which is driven by Fenton reaction\textsuperscript{[89]}. Decreased level of GSHr during spermatogenesis indicated loss of integrity of spermatozoa integrity, which resulted in oxidative stress\textsuperscript{[90]}. GSH is not only essential for sperm scavenging system but also vital for the production of phospholipid hydroperoxide glutathione peroxidase (GPX4), which exists in spermatids and is essential for the formation of a protein required for development of the midpiece of spermatozoa. Absence of either substance could lead to deformation of the midpiece and malfunctioned motility\textsuperscript{[91]}. Furthermore, GPx and GSH reductase might be responsible for the inhibition of sperm peroxidation\textsuperscript{[92]}. Moreover, smoking and alcohol drinking also suppress Nrf2 expression, which has a protective role against oxidative damage\textsuperscript{[93]} (fig. 3).

**Fig. 3: Likely intracellular oxidative mechanisms in spermatozoa**

(A) smoking and alcohol use effects; cigarette and alcohol increase ROS level possibly induced by increased NADPH oxidase activity, which suppresses SOD, GSH and Nrf2 levels by producing reactive oxygen intermediates (O\textsubscript{2}•, H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, and OH•). When uncoupled eNOS reacts with NO and O\textsubscript{2}• to forms ONOO-. Increased ROS/RNS level results in oxidative/nitrosative stress, which induces sperm membrane peroxidation and leads to nuclear as well as mitochondrial DNA damage. (B) effects after antioxidant therapy without smoking and alcohol use- in the absence of cigarette or alcohol exposure, ROS production is eliminated by spermatozoa in the form of H\textsubscript{2}O and NO, stimulates sperm capacitation and acrosome reaction via cGMP/PKG pathway. These signalling pathways do not allow sperm DNA damage. ROS/RNS- reactive oxygen/nitrogen species, NADPH- nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (reduced), O\textsubscript{2}•- oxygen, O\textsubscript{2}•- superoxide, H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}- hydrogen peroxide, OH•- hydroxide, ONOO- - peroxynitrite, NO- nitric oxide, iNOS- inducible NO synthase, eNOS- endothelial NOS, SOD- superoxide dismutase, GSH-glutathione, LPO- lipid peroxidation, Nrf2- nuclear factor erythroid 2 (NFE2)-related factor 2, ARE- antioxidant response element, mtDNA- mitochondrial deoxyribonucleic acid, sGC- soluble guanylyl cyclase, cGMP- cyclic guanosine monophosphate, PKG- protein kinase G
Marselos et al. have noted that the nicotine compound in a cigarette can influence the enzymes of ethanol metabolism in the body. It may be possible that the combination of ethanol and nicotine induces excessive production of ROS than that of ethanol or nicotine alone[94]. This study supports our findings regarding the combined use of smoking and alcohol drinking affected antioxidant status more in infertile men than smoking or alcohol use alone.

As per our knowledge, the correlations that have shown between blood plasma levels of MDA, NO, SOD and GSHr and cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption have not been previously conducted. These novel findings discovered that toxic compounds in cigarette and alcohol imbalance the ROS and antioxidant system and affects sperm DNA integrity, which increases DNA fragmentation, hence lead to cell apoptosis. These unfavourable effects of oxidative stress can be treated with oral antioxidants such as vitamins C and E, zinc, folic acid, SOD and GSHr to enhance the fertility potential in men through redox homeostasis[95]. However, these antioxidant supplementations might not be adequate to regulate oxidative stress and maintain a healthy reproductive tract. Furthermore, paternal preconception alcohol use is associated with an increased risk of spontaneous loss of pregnancy[96].

On the other hand, paternal smoking is associated with a high risk of congenital diseases and childhood cancers in their children[97]. Wherever both paternal and maternal smoking is independently associated with decreased sperm quality of their offspring[98]. These overall findings suggest that when a couple wishes to conceive, it would be safe to quit smoking and alcohol consumption[5,99].

There are some limitations in this study, which needs to be mentioned before drawing conclusions of this investigation. In the present study, smoking and alcohol drinking statistics were obtained from a prepared questionnaire which asks the subjects to mention the number of cigarettes smoked per day, alcohol consumed per day including the duration in years. This data was not confirmed by any biochemical test such as ethanol or cotinine levels in serum, salivary, or urine samples. Hence, the nature of data is qualitative; hence a definite correlation between smoking or alcohol drinking habits with semen parameters could not be made.

Furthermore, as per the excluding criteria, which included avoiding of recreational drug use, abnormalities of genital examination lead to decreased number of participants in the study and also in the subgroups of patients and controls. Therefore, dose-dependent variables such as the number of cigarettes or drinks consumed per day and the duration of smoking and alcohol drinking habits could not be focused on. In future, a prospective, large sample-sized study should be conducted with active smokers and alcohol drinkers to examine the effects of smoking and alcohol drinking in infertile men at different time intervals and also after they stop smoking or alcohol drinking, which would give a better idea on the relationship between cigarette smoking and male infertility. In spite of these limitations, significant links were found in the present study such as a correlation between cigarette smoking and alcohol drinking with increased concentrations of oxidative stress markers, which might be due to increased seminal leukocyte concentrations.

In the present study, it can be concluded that smoking and alcohol consumption leads to decreased sperm quality, impaired male endocrine system and oxidative damage in the blood plasma of infertile men. These findings suggested that cigarette smoke and alcohol have a deleterious effect on the male reproductive system. Further investigation of the role of toxic compounds in cigarette smoke and alcohol metabolism with specific biochemical and molecular mechanism related to sperm dysfunction is necessary. This investigation definitely resolved some controversies regarding the effect of smoking and alcohol consumption on fertility potential of infertile men.
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