In Vitro Release Characteristics of Matrix Tablets: Study of Karaya Gum and Guar Gum as Release Modulators

M. K. SENAPATI, A. SRINATHA AND J. K. PANDIT*

Department of Pharmaceutics, Institute of Technology, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221 005, India.

Matrix tablets of phenylpropanolamine were fabricated using karaya gum and guar gum, alone or in combination with other excipients. The tablets were evaluated for physical characteristics like hardness, weight variation, friability, swelling index and drug content. *In vitro* release of drug was performed in 0.1N HCl (pH 1.2) for 2 h and the rest of dissolution in phosphate buffer (pH 6.8). The effect of water-soluble (lactose) and water-insoluble (dicalcium phosphate) excipients on drug release was evaluated. All the physical characteristics of the fabricated tablets were within acceptable limits. The tablets with karaya gum exhibited greater swelling indices than those with guar gum. All the batches provided drug release over a period of 6 h. The level of matrix former in the tablets affects drug release. Incorporation of lactose or dicalcium phosphate influenced drug release, but at lower polymer levels only. A combination of karaya gum and guar gum exhibited more sustained release than individual gum.

There has been a progressive and divergent study on the use of naturally occurring biocompatible polymeric. materials in designing of dosage forms for oral controlled release administration¹⁻³. Gums of natural sources are biodegradable and non-toxic, which hydrate and swell on contact with aqueous media; and these have been used for the preparation of single unit dosage forms⁴. Karaya gum (KG), dry exudate of plant species Sterculia⁵, has high swelling property and is an important hydrogel in formulations for constant release of drug in vitro^{2,6}. Guar gum (GG), a polysaccharide derivative with glycoside linkages, has been used as matrix former for controlled release of isoniazide7, diltiazem8 and nafronyl oxalate9. Phenylpropanolamine hydrochloride (PPA HCl), a sympathomimetic amine, is widely used as a nasal decongestant; it acts as an appetite suppressant at higher dose. It has α -adrenergic agonist effect¹⁰, which produces vasoconstriction, redistributes local blood flow to reduce oedema of the nasal mucosa, thus improving ventilation, drainage and nasal stuffiness. Oral nasal decongestants like PPA HCl are used to overcome the local tissue damage caused by topical nasal decongestants. The present investigation is aimed to formulate matrix tablets with KG and GG alone or in combinations as matrix formers and to study the effect of lactose (water-soluble) and dicalcium phosphate (water-insoluble) on drug release.

*For correspondence E-mail: jkpandit@bhu.ac.in Drug (PPA. HCl) was generously gifted by Alkem Pharma (Mumbai). Karaya gum (KG) and guar gum (GG) were purchased from National Chemicals (Vadodara) and HiMedia Laboratories (Mumbai) respectively. Lactose and dicalcium phosphate were procured from CDH, New Delhi. All other reagents were of analytical grade and used as received.

Drug (# 80) was mixed with polymer (KG or GG alone or in combination) and other excipients (Table 1, Batch size 50 tablets) in a turbula mixer for 30 min. The powder mixture was moistened by adding water drop-wise, kneaded in a mortar and sifted through sieve no. 12. The granules obtained were dried at 40° in an oven (Jindal Scientific Industries, Delhi) till constant moisture was achieved, sifted through sieve no. 20, mixed with magnesium stearate and talc before compression on a Manesty E_2 single-punch tabletting machine with flat-faced punches (9 mm diameter).

The matrix tablets were evaluated for hardness (Monsanto hardness tester), friability (Roche friabilator) and weight uniformity, as per the standard procedures. Drug content in tablets was determined by pulverizing 10 tablets, and powder quantity equivalent to average weight of tablets was dissolved in 100 ml 0.1 N HCl (pH 1.2). An aliquot was removed, filtered and after suitable dilution analysed on a double beam UV-spectrophotometer (Jasco 7800, Japan) at 257 nm. The water uptake behaviour of tablets was determined gravimetrically in 0.1N HCl (pH

TABLE 1: FORMULATION COMPOSITION. TIME FOR	2 50% AND 90%	% RELEASE FRC	M MATRIX TABLET
--	---------------	---------------	-----------------

Batch code	Components (mg/tablet)						t _{90%} (h)
	Drug	KG	GG	Lactose	DCP		
LK1	50	50	-	146	-	0.90	4.3
LK2	50	75	-	121	-	1.14	6.38
LK3	50	100	-	96	-	2.40	8.3
LG1	50	-	50	146	-	0.91	4.29
LG2	50	-	75	121	-	0.88	4.44
LG3	50	-	100	96	-	1.61	7.45
DK1	50	50	-	-	146	1.15	7.12
DK2	50	100	-	-	96	2.37	8.4
DG1	50	-	50	-	146	0.92	5.30
DG2	50	-	100	-	96	1.71	7.64
KG1	50	50	50	96	-	2.60	8.03
KG2	50	50	100	46		2.20	7.74
KG3	50	100	50	46		3.29	8.91

KG - Karaya gum; GG - Guar gum. All batches contained 2% w/w magnesium stearate and talc

1.2) at room temperature. A pre-weighed tablet with a tablet holder was placed in the medium, and the weight of the swollen tablet was noted at predefined time intervals. The swelling index was calculated by the following equation: swelling index = $(W_t - W_0)/W_0$, where W_0 and W_t are the initial weight of tablet and weight at time t respectively. Dissolution tests were performed in USP XXIII dissolution test apparatus, type II, with a paddle speed of 50 rpm at 37 ± 0.2°. For the first 2 h, dissolution was performed in 900 ml of 0.1N HCl (pH 1.2), and then in phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) for the rest of the dissolution duration. At regular time intervals, an aliquot of 5 ml was withdrawn and assayed for drug content at 257 nm. All evaluations were performed in triplicate.

The hardness of the matrix tablets ranged between 3.9 \pm 0.13 and 5.7 \pm 0.27 kg/cm² The percent friability of the prepared tablets was well within acceptable limit. There was no significant weight variation observed between average weight and individual weight of tablets. The percentage drug content in all the batches was within the range of 97.9-102%, ensuring uniformity of drug content in the formulations. The swelling index of tablets was widely dependent on the nature of polymer (fig. 1). Higher degree of water uptake was observed with GG matrices than KG matrices. The swelling kinetics was dependent on the concentration of gum, as lower proportion of gum would decrease the ability of the matrix to absorb water. Unlike tablets with GG as matrix, tablets with KG as matrix showed weight loss after an initial swelling The weight difference with time was a measure of both hydration and erosion process as occurring simultaneously. During the second half of dissolution study, the erosion exceeded the rate of hydration with a decrease in wet weight of the matrix¹¹. The drug release was dependent on the nature and

concentration of gum in the matrix. The effect of the matrix former and excipients on drug release rate can be analyzed from time for 50% $(t_{50\%})$ and 90% $(t_{90\%})$ release (Table 1). Although there was no significant variation in $t_{50\%}$ and $t_{90\%}$ values for tablets at lower gum concentration, at higher gum concentration the same was not true. All the batches provided a burst release of PPA HCl. Further, the release was influenced by the nature of gum. As reported elsewhere¹², KG at higher level provided more controlled release than at lower level. The initial burst release in tablets with KG was in contrast to an earlier report¹³, where a lag time was observed before any measurable amount of drug release was detected, which was due to moderate swelling of KG. However, the initial burst release observed in our study could be attributed to the solubility of the amine drug in acidic environment of dissolution medium. Tablets with combination of KG and GG as matrix former (KG1, KG2



Fig. 1: Swelling kinetics of matrix tablets The water uptake study for batches LK1 (- \triangle -), LK2 (- \Diamond -), LK3 (- \bigcirc -), LG1 (- \blacktriangle -), LG2 (- \blacklozenge -) and LG3 (- \blacklozenge -) was performed in 0.1N HCl (pH 1.2) using USP XXIII dissolution apparatus at 50 rpm



Fig. 2: In vitro drug release profile of fabricated matrix tablets Effect of lactose, DCP and combination of polymers on the release of phenylpropanolamine from batches LK1 (- \diamond -), LG1 (- \ast -), DG1 (- \bullet -), DK1 (- \blacksquare -), LG3 (-+-), LK3 (- \blacktriangle -), DG3 (- \triangle -), DK3 (-x-) KG1 (- \bigcirc -), KG2 (- \diamondsuit -) and KG3 (- \square -)

and KG3) sustained drug release longer than tablets with individual polymers (fig. 2).

The drug release was greatly influenced by the nature of the diluent incorporated in the formulations. Higher drug release was observed from batches LG1 and LK1 (20% w/w polymer level and lactose) than batches DK1 and DG1, containing dicalcium phosphate as diluent. This difference in polease rate can be attributed to lactose, which diffuses outward through the hydrated gel layer, increasing the porosity and decreasing the tortuosity of the diffusional path of drug^{16,15}; while dicalcium phosphate, a water-insoluble material, decreases drug release¹⁶, owing to formation of a porose non-swellable and insoluble matrix¹⁷. However, at higher polymer concentration (40% w/w), no significant influence of diluent was observed on drug release. Drug release followed square root of time equation as

the correlation co-efficient (r^2) values were higher for Q vs. $t^{1/2}$ than Q vs. t plots. Further, '*n*' values ranged between 0.31 and 0.50, indicating that the drug release was predominantly by diffusion mechanism.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bonferoni, M.C. and Rosi S.T., J. Control. Release, 1993, 26, 119
- Sujja-areevath, J, Munday, D.L, Cox, P.J. and Khan, K.A., Int. J. Pharm., 1996, 139, 53.
- Khullar, P., Khar, R.K. and Agarwal, S.P., Drug Develop. Ind. Pharm., 1998, 24, 1095.
- 4. Nokano, M. and Ogata, A., Chem. Pharm. Bul., 1984, 32, 782.
- Gershon, S.D. and Pader , M., In, Balsam, M.S. and Sagarin, E., Eds., Cosmetics Science and Technology, Wiley-Interscince, New York, 1972, 423.
- 6. Cox, P.J., Khan, K.A., Munday, D.L. and Sujja-areevath, J., Int. J. Pharm., 1999, 193 7).
- 7. Jain, N.K., Kulkarri, K. and Talwar, N., Pharmazie, 1992, 47, 277.
- 8. Altaf, S. and Jorles, D.B., Pharm. Res., 1998, 15, 1196.
- 9. Waller, P.J., Graffner, C. and Muller, B.W. Acta Pharm. Nor., 1992, 4, 9.
- 10. Cole, P., Haight, J.S., Cooper, P.W. and Kassel, E.E., J. Otolaryngol., 1983, 12, 58.
- 1'. Park, C.L. and Munday, D.L., Drug Develop. Ind. Pharm., 2004., 30, 609.
- 12. Cox, P.J. and Munday, D.L., Int. J. Pharm., 2000, 203, 179.
- 13. Sujja-areevath, J., Munday, D.L., Cox, P.J. and Khan, K.A., Eur. J. Pharm. Sci., 1998, 6, 207.
- Marin Bosca, M.T., Ismaed, S.L., Sanchez, M.J. and Cerezo G.A., Drug Develop. Ind. Pharm., 1995, 21, 1557.
- 15. Talukdar, M.M. and Kinget, R., Int. J. Pharm., 1995, 120, 63.
- 16. Sheth, P.R. and Tossounian, J.L., US Patent No., 4167558, 1979.
- Mulye, N.V. and Turco, S.J., **Drug Develop. Ind. Pharm.**, 1994, 20, 2621.

Accepted 26 December 2006 Revised 22 March 2006 Received 26 September 2005 Indian J. Pharm. Sci., 2006, 68 (6): 824-826