Liu et al.: Linezolid Effect in the Treatment of Pulmonary Tuberculosis Combined with Severe Pneumonia

To investigate the efficacy of linezolid in the treatment of pulmonary tuberculosis combined with severe pneumonia and its effect on the prognosis. 72 patients with pulmonary tuberculosis combined with severe pneumonia were selected in our hospital and random divided into control and observation group. Patients in both groups first received basic symptomatic treatment. On this basis, the control group was given isoniazid injection intravenously while the observation group was given linezolid glucose injection intravenously as an adjunctive treatment and both groups were treated continuously for 14 d. The clinical efficacy, bacterial clearance rate, immune function, cytokine levels and incidence of adverse reactions were compared between the two groups after 28 d treatment. The results showed that the total effective and bacterial clearance rate in the observation group were higher than those in the group (p<0.05) and the levels of cluster of differentiation 4, cluster of differentiation 8, cluster of differentiation 4/cluster of differentiation 8, immunoglobulin a and immunoglobulin g were increased while the levels of interleukin-6, interleukin-8 and tumour necrosis factor alpha were decreased in two groups after treatment (p<0.05) and the observation group was significantly different than that of the control group (p<0.05). The use of linezolid in the treatment of patients with tuberculosis combined with severe pneumonia is clinically effective and safe and is worthy of clinical application.
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Tuberculosis is a common chronic infectious disease and its transmission mode is mainly respiratory transmission. Patients with tuberculosis will have a rapid decline in immunity, commonly accompanied by infection of other bacteria in the lower respiratory tract. With the continuous spread of infection, severe pneumonia may occur, endangering patients’ life safety[1]. As antimicrobial agents, isoniazid and rifampicin are commonly used in the current clinical treatment of this disease. However, with the increase in the use of antimicrobial agents in recent years, the resistance to Staphylococcus aureus and other antimicrobial agents has gradually become stronger, which further increases the difficulty in the treatment of lung diseases and leads to poor efficacy by medication alone[2].

Linezolid is a synthetic antibiotic of oxazolidinones, which can be used in the treatment by aerobic infections caused by gram positive bacteria, in clinical treatment for this kind of bacterium infection has shown advantage[3], including methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus, vancomycin resistant Enterococcus and penicillin and (or) large ring lactone class resistant pneumococci, etc. Linezolid has also been reported to be effective in the treatment of Mycobacterium avium infection and multidrug-resistant tuberculosis[4]. In this study, 72 patients with pulmonary tuberculosis complicated with severe pneumonia were studied, aiming to explore the clinical treatment effect of linezolid in patients with pulmonary tuberculosis complicated with severe pneumonia.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

General information:

A total of 72 patients with tuberculosis complicated with severe pneumonia were admitted to the Tuberculosis Department of Nanjing Second Hospital from October 2019 to October 2020. All patients with body weight ≥50 kg, were selected and divided into control group and observation group according to random drawing, with 36 patients in each group. The control group had 19 males and 17 females. Their age ranged from 18 to 70 y, with an average of (41.46±1.25) y. The course of disease ranged from 1 to 8 y, with an average of (4.28±1.10) y. The observation group included 20 males and 16 females. The average age was (42.20±2.11) y from 20 to 75 y old. The course of disease ranged from 1 to 10 y, with an average of (4.43±1.22) y.

Inclusion criteria: All patients met the criteria of pulmonary tuberculosis complicated with severe pneumonia after diagnosis of imaging examination, laboratory observation and clinical manifestations; Good treatment compliance; Age >18 y old.

Exclusion criteria: Water electrolyte, acid-base balance is seriously disturbed; Severe impairment of liver and kidney function; drug allergy. This study was approved by the hospital ethics committee with informed consent of the patients and their families. There was no significant difference in gender, age and other general data between the two groups (p˃0.05), indicating comparability.

Therapeutic method:

Patients in both groups received basic symptomatic treatment, such as expectoration, nutritional support, oxygen inhalation, antipyretic treatment and fluid replacement, to ensure the airway patency. On this basis, control group was given intravenous infusion of isoniazid injection, 300 mg/time, once/d; Rifampicin injection intravenously, 0.6 g/time, once/d; Ethambutol hydrochloride tablets for oral treatment, 0.75 g/time, once/d; Pyrazinamide tablets for oral treatment, 0.5 g/time, 3 Times/d. On the basis of the control group, patients in the study group received adjuvant therapy of linezolid glucose injection intravenously, 600 mg/time, once every 12 h, twice/d. Both groups were treated continuously for 14 d.

Observation indexes and efficacy evaluation:

Clinical efficacy, bacterial culture results, bacterial clearance rate and immune function of 2 groups were observed after 4 w of treatment (Cluster of Differentiation 4 (CD4+), CD8+, CD4+/CD8+, Immunoglobulin A (IgA) and IgG), cytokines (Interleukin-6 (IL-6), IL-8 and Tumour Necrosis Factor Alpha (TNF-α)) and adverse events.

Detection of bacterial clearance rate: Before treatment and at the end of treatment, the sputum of the patients was taken for bacterial culture. The sputum was taken once every 12 h for continuous culture for 3 d and the same pathogen was found in 3 cultures, indicating positive bacterial culture. Biofosun automatic bacterial identification instrument (Shanghai Jingrui Scientific Instrument Co., Ltd.) was used for identification.

Immune function test: Before treatment and 4 w after treatment, 2 test tubes of 5 ml venous blood were extracted from 2 groups of patients and Dipotassium Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid (EDTA-K2) anticoagulant was used to reverse and mix timely. CD4+ and CD8+ levels were detected by flow cytometry (Minray, Bricyte E6) and cell counting meter (Guangzhou Vijay Technology Co., Ltd., JSY-SC-021H). IgA and IgG were detected by immunoturbidimetry. All the kits were purchased from Zhejiang Aikang Biotechnology Co., Ltd.

Cytokine detection: 5 ml of venous blood was extracted from 2 groups and the upper serum was collected by low-speed centrifugation. The levels of IL-6, IL-8 and TNF-α were determined by Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA). The ELISA kit was purchased from Beijing Yiqiao Shenzhou Biotechnology Co., Ltd.

Evaluation of therapeutic efficiency:

Clinical curative effect: According to the Guiding Principles for Clinical Application of Antimicrobial Agents, the evaluation can be divided into cured, obvious effective, effective and ineffective[5].

Recovery: The body temperature returned to normal, the imaging examination and laboratory examination results were normal, the infected bacteria were completely cleared and the mental state was good.

Significant effect: The body temperature was basically normal, the results of laboratory examination and imaging examination were significantly improved, the infected bacteria were partially cleared and the mental state was basically restored.

Effective: The body temperature decreased, the symptoms and examination results were not significantly improved and the mental state was not significantly improved.
**No effect:** No improvement or even deterioration 72 h after treatment. Total effective rate=(cured+effective)/total number of cases×100 %.

Bacterial Clearance Rate: According to the standards established by the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) (2002), the evaluation was divided into clearance, hypothetical clearance, replacement, unclearedance and reinfection[6].

**Clearance:** No pathogen was found in sputum samples collected 24 h after treatment.

**Hypothesis clearance:** After treatment, the patient had a significant clinical effect, but no good culture specimens were obtained and the bacterial culture of the samples before treatment was positive. Replacement-culture without the original pathogenic bacteria, but find new pathogens that do not need treatment.

**Not cleared:** The original pathogenic bacteria were still positive after treatment.

**Reinfection:** Culture does not find the original pathogen, but finds a new pathogen that needs treatment. Total clearance rate=(clearance+ hypothetical clearance+ replacement)/total number of cases×100 %.

**Follow up methods:**
Follow up was 28 d after treatment and the end point was all-cause death.

**Statistical analysis:**
Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 22.0 statistical software was used for data analysis. Measurement data were expressed as mean±standard deviation (x±s) and t-test was used for comparison. Enumeration data were expressed as rate (%) and χ² test was used for comparison. p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**
The total effective rate of the observation group was significantly higher than that of the control group, and the difference between the two groups was statistically significant (p<0.05), as shown in Table 1.

In the two groups, 34 cases of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (47.22 %), 33 cases of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (45.83 %), 25 cases of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (34.72 %), 23 cases of *Acinetobacter baumannii* (31.94 %) and 9 cases of *Enterococcus faecalis* (12.50 %), 4 cases of *Streptococcus haemolyticus* (5.56 %) and 40 cases of mixed infection (55.56 %). The bacterial clearance rate of the observation group was significantly higher than that of the control group (p<0.05), as shown in Table 2.

Before treatment, there were no significant differences in the levels of CD4⁺, CD8⁺, CD4⁺/CD8⁺, lG A and lG G between 2 groups (all p>0.05). After 4 w of treatment, the above indexes in 2 groups were significantly higher than before treatment (all p<0.05) and the above indexes in the observation group were higher than those in the control group (all p<0.05), as shown in Table 3.

Before treatment, there were no significant differences in the levels of IL-6, IL-8 and TNF-α between 2 groups (p<0.05). After treatment, the above indexes in 2 groups were significantly lower than before treatment (all p<0.05) and the above indexes in the observation group were significantly lower than those in the control group (all p<0.001), as shown in Table 4.

After treatment, there was no statistical significance in the incidence of Adverse Drug Reactions (ADR) between 2 groups (p>0.05), as shown in Table 5.

In recent years, due to the widespread use of broad spectrum antimicrobial drugs, the incidence of Multidrug-Resistant Tuberculosis (MDR-TB) has been increasing year by year. Besides, pulmonary structural changes have been found in tuberculosis patients and mixed infection is common. In this study, 40 cases were mixed infection, accounting for 55.56 %, which further increased the difficulty of tuberculosis treatment. Severe pneumonia is a common complication of tuberculosis patients and Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is one of the main pathogens causing severe pneumonia. Therefore, it is particularly important to find an antibiotic to treat multi-drug resistance in patients with tuberculosis complicated with severe pneumonia.

Linezolid is a synthetic broad spectrum of new antimicrobial agent against gram positive coccus. The principle is that, in the initial stage of the bacterial protein translation by inhibiting bacterial protein synthesis to antibacterial effect[6], does not affect the peptidyl transference activity, therefore, it is not easy to rina thiazole amine with other inhibit protein synthesis of cross resistance to antimicrobial agents, can be used to MRSA and other gram-positive bacteria resistant treatment[7,8]. Moreover, it has been reported that the cure rate of linezolid combined with other drugs for MDR-TB is 69 %–92 %[9].
### TABLE 1: COMPARISON OF CLINICAL EFFICACY BETWEEN THE TWO GROUPS OF PATIENTS WITH TUBERCULOSIS COMPLICATED WITH SEVERE PNEUMONIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Cure</th>
<th>Obvious effect</th>
<th>Effective</th>
<th>Ineffective</th>
<th>Total effective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observation group</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>7 (19.44)</td>
<td>11 (30.56)</td>
<td>14 (38.89)</td>
<td>4 (11.11)</td>
<td>32 (88.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5 (13.89)</td>
<td>9 (25.00)</td>
<td>12 (33.33)</td>
<td>10 (27.78)</td>
<td>26 (72.22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Comparison of total effective rate between the two groups, $x^2=4.356; p=0.037$

### TABLE 2: COMPARISON OF BACTERIAL CLEARANCE RATE IN PATIENTS WITH PULMONARY TUBERCULOSIS COMPLICATED WITH SEVERE PNEUMONIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Clearance</th>
<th>Hypothetical clearance</th>
<th>Replacement</th>
<th>Unclearance</th>
<th>Reinfection</th>
<th>Total clearance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observation group</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>9 (25.00)</td>
<td>12 (33.33)</td>
<td>8 (22.22)</td>
<td>5 (13.89)</td>
<td>2 (5.56)</td>
<td>29 (80.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5 (13.89)</td>
<td>10 (27.87)</td>
<td>5 (13.89)</td>
<td>10 (27.89)</td>
<td>6 (16.33)</td>
<td>20 (55.56)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Comparison of total clearance rate between the two groups, $x^2=5.709; p=0.017$

### TABLE 3: IMMUNE INDEXES BEFORE AND AFTER TREATMENT IN THE TWO GROUPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>CD4+ (%) Before treatment</th>
<th>CD4+ (%) After treatment</th>
<th>CD4+/CD8+ Before treatment</th>
<th>CD4+/CD8+ After treatment</th>
<th>IgA (g/l) Before treatment</th>
<th>IgA (g/l) After treatment</th>
<th>CD4+ (%) Before treatment</th>
<th>CD4+ (%) After treatment</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observation group</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>31.13±2.34</td>
<td>43.72±2.30</td>
<td>25.82±2.30</td>
<td>12 (33.33)</td>
<td>28.66±2.30</td>
<td>1.22±2.30</td>
<td>1.59±2.30</td>
<td>5 (13.89)</td>
<td>2.63±2.30</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>31.12±2.34</td>
<td>39.76±2.30</td>
<td>25.72±2.30</td>
<td>12 (33.33)</td>
<td>26.93±2.30</td>
<td>1.28±2.30</td>
<td>1.48±2.30</td>
<td>5 (13.89)</td>
<td>1.62±2.30</td>
<td>0.826</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Compared with before treatment, $p<0.05$

### TABLE 4: COMPARISON OF CYTOKINES BEFORE AND AFTER TREATMENT IN THE TWO GROUPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>IL-6 Before treatment</th>
<th>IL-6 After treatment</th>
<th>IL-8 Before treatment</th>
<th>IL-8 After treatment</th>
<th>TNF-α Before treatment</th>
<th>TNF-α After treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observation group</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>204.26±2.39</td>
<td>100.28±2.31</td>
<td>136.72±14.30</td>
<td>26.45±3.23</td>
<td>203.11±5.08</td>
<td>116.22±5.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>204.22±2.23</td>
<td>105.12±1.23</td>
<td>137.06±14.26</td>
<td>31.82±3.21</td>
<td>202.22±5.88</td>
<td>119.82±4.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Compared with before treatment, $p<0.05$

### TABLE 5: COMPARISON OF ADVERSE EVENTS AFTER TREATMENT BETWEEN THE TWO GROUPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Vomiting</th>
<th>Nausea</th>
<th>Diarrhea</th>
<th>Nephrotoxicity</th>
<th>Adverse reaction rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observation Group</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2 (5.56)</td>
<td>2 (5.56)</td>
<td>1 (5.56)</td>
<td>0 (0.00)</td>
<td>5 (11.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1 (2.78)</td>
<td>1 (2.78)</td>
<td>2 (5.56)</td>
<td>0 (0.00)</td>
<td>4 (13.90)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The main mechanism of antibacterial drugs is to achieve the purpose of sterilization by inhibiting bacterial protein synthesis or destroying bacterial cell wall and bacterial clearance rate is the main evaluation index. This study indicated that bacterial clearance rate in the observation group was significantly higher than that in the control group. Considering that linezolid is a broad spectrum antimicrobial agent, it has both the powerful effect of first-generation cephalosporin against gram-positive coccus and the stability of third-generation cephalosporin against broad spectrum Beta (β)-lactamase, so it can effectively inhibit gram negative bacilli. In this study, MRSA accounted for 38.89% and linezolid can play an effective scavenging role. Therefore, after treatment with linezolid, its antibacterial efficiency is higher.

The occurrence and development of tuberculosis is closely related to the cellular and humoral immune functions of the body and the decline of immunity will cause pulmonary infection and further aggravate the disease. In this study, CD4+, CD8+, CD4+/CD8+, IgA and IgG levels were significantly increased in the 2 groups after treatment and the improvement was more obvious in the patients treated with linazolid, suggesting a better recovery of immune function. Patients with pulmonary tuberculosis severe pneumonia, will cause fever, difficulty breathing, thus increasing the body’s energy consumption, at the same time due to insufficient intake of calories, nutrition can cause patients lack of lower immunity and severe infection causes the body T lymphocyte and B lymphocyte apoptosis, increase the body’s immune function decline. This resulted in reduced levels of CD4+, CD8+, CD4+/CD8+, IgA and IgG. In the observation group, the antibacterial effect was more effective, which could reduce the body’s energy consumption and resist the development of inflammation, so as to better promote the recovery of the body’s immune function. In a study, 46 patients with tuberculosis were treated with linezolid and their immune function was significantly improved, which was consistent with the results of this study.

Cytokines play a role in inhibiting bacteria and protecting the body during the occurrence and development of inflammation and effectively regulate the body’s immune function. This study suggested that after treatment, the levels of IL-6, IL-8 and TNF-α in 2 groups were significantly decreased and the above indexes in the observation group were more significantly decreased, suggesting that the observation group had better infection control after treatment. Studies have suggested that IL-6, IL-8 and TNF-α can reflect the severity of inflammation and the more severe the inflammation, the higher the level of IL-6. IL-6 is produced by CD4+ T cells and macrophages, and its main function is to activate the activity of T cells, B cells and NK cells in the body, increase the immunity of the body and thus increase the ability of the body to resist bacteria. The main role of IL-8 is to induce neutrophils to transport to the site of inflammatory reaction and play a guiding role, so that the body’s epidemic prevention system can kill pathogens more effectively. At the same time, IL-8 can regulate the body’s immune balance by presenting antigens to the body’s immune system. The role of TNF-α is to produce granulation tissue in the body, which protects against further attacks by the Mycobacterium tuberculosis. However, when over secreted, TNF-α can damage lung structures and cause cavern tuberculosis. The increase of the above cytokines can protect the body and inhibit bacteria. Due to the higher bacterial clearance rate in the observation group, the above indexes decreased more and at the same time, it can effectively avoid the destruction of lung tissue structure caused by TNF-α over secretion. In terms of adverse reactions, there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups, indicating that combined treatment with linezolid was safe.

The occurrence and development of tuberculosis is closely related to the immune function of the body. After treatment, the immune function of the observation group was significantly higher than that of the control group and the bacterial clearance rate of the observation group was higher. Therefore, linezolid can effectively improve the prognosis of patients. The observation group had a better clinical outcome due to the high bacterial clearance rate of the treatment and better immunity enhancement.

In conclusion, the treatment of pulmonary tuberculosis complicated with severe pneumonia by linezolid can improve the clinical efficacy, enhance immune function, reduce cytokines and improve the survival rate of patients, with guaranteed safety.
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