Therapeutic and Protective Effects of Pharmacological Agents on Femoral Head Osteonecrosis
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Zhao: Effects of Pharmacological Agents on FHO

A variety of pharmacological agents are available for the treatment of osteonecrosis of the femoral head. Nonetheless, several controversies are associated with their clinical use. Various electronic databases such as Medline (1999-2019) and EMBASE (1999-2019) were searched for an extensive literature review in English using various keywords including, femoral head osteonecrosis and pharmacological treatments together. The retrieved publications were carefully reviewed to find out the efficacy and safety of the pharmacological agents used for the treatment of femoral head osteonecrosis. The presently used pharmacological treatments in clinical practice for femoral head osteonecrosis included iloprost, enoxaparin, bisphosphonates, and statins. Nonetheless, studies have reported poor efficacy and several undesired effects of these agents. None of the high-level studies recommended the therapeutic and protective use of pharmacological agents in the treatment of osteonecrosis of the femoral head.
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Osteonecrosis of the femoral head is a pathological condition that is triggered by the reduction of blood flow to the joints area, which results in the collapse of the necrotic portion leading to developing osteoarthritis of the hip joint. This is a more common finding in young people leading to total hip arthroplasty (THA) [1,2]. Its incidence in the general population is increasing due to the heavy use of steroids as a combination therapy for the treatment of malignant neoplasms and organ transplant recovery [3-5]. According to an estimate, 10 000 to 20 000 newly admitted patients are affected by this disease [6]. After surveying the whole of South Korea, it is estimated that the occurrence of the disease was more than 10 000 [7]. Over time, the disease would become a socioeconomic problem. There are many published reports during the period of January 1999 to December 2018, which explored the effects of the treatment related to osteonecrosis. Two meta-analyses
carried out on the treatment of osteonecrosis with bisphosphonates clearly pointed out that the usefulness is limited due to the low efficacy of bisphosphonates\cite{8,9}. At III/IV level studies, a small number of patients were examined. Meta-analysis was not applied for treatment purpose at the I/II level study due to insufficient data. Hence publications from the past 20 y were retrieved to understand the latest mechanisms and pharmacological treatments that were more effective in treating femoral head osteonecrosis (FHO).

Several genetic and risk factors are responsible for the development of FHO. Ischemia is the main cause of the disease. The pathophysiology of this disease involved marrow necrosis and osteocyte death; healing of necrotic part of bone and breakage of necrotic bone and development of osteoarthritis of the hip\cite{10} (fig. 1).

Steroids and alcohols exert many effects on the femoral head such as increased size of marrow fat cells, intraosseous hypertension, venous sinusoidal obstructive syndrome and disseminated intravascular coagulation. The obstruction of blood flow results in the development of ischemia and marrow necrosis. The pathophysiology of FHO is thrombophilia and impairment of generating new vessels (angiogenesis). In the absence of these factors, the pathological conditions reversed and did not disseminate, while in the presence of the above factors, the prolonged ischemic and necrotic conditions led to the formation of sequestrum. When sequestrum was ostensible viz. greater than 1 cm, the bone did not undergo creeping substitution. Subsequently, foreign or macrophages response started around sequestrum in which histiocytes and giant cells started aggregating and formed a fibrous capsule. Afterward, in MRI it looked just like band lesions. In the sequestrum, fatty acid released from dead marrow formed soap after saponification. After all the process, dead bone and saponified marrow did not gain the previous strength and at the sub-chondral portion, a fatigue fracture develops which resulted in osteoarthritis of the hip. The further progress of this ischemic disease to osteonecrosis depended on the restoring capability from fibrinolysis and angiogenesis which in result help osteocytes to work properly.

There are several methods to treat FHO. The non-surgical methods adopt at the pre-collapsed stage is a weight-bearing restriction, using a cane, crutches or a walker and alteration in the activity. At an early stage, these methods can be helpful but do not prevent the disease from developing\cite{5,11-20}. Preventing the patient from lifting weight can only help if the patient has a limited disease and cannot undergo surgery due to any reason\cite{21,22}. The other supplemental treatments include the use of pharmacological agents such as anticoagulants, traditional Chinese medicines, bisphosphonate, and other non-invasive tools that are used for measuring metabolism and biophysical modalities such as electromagnetic stimulation and hyperbaric oxygen\cite{23-32}. These drugs use specific pathways to combat the disease. If the bone condition is good, it is necessary to arrest the process of osteonecrosis with pharmacological approaches\cite{33}. These methodologies include physiotherapy of a specific joint. According to a report, it is evident that the old methodologies are not beneficial for the treatment of FHO\cite{3}. Various pathological mechanisms have been adopted and practiced to cure this disease.

Enoxaparin was used for the treatment of early-stage osteonecrosis as reported by Glueck et al.\cite{11}. These authors documented that enoxaparin improved fibrinolysis of intravascular thrombi, which could results in improvement of blood flow, reverse the ischemic condition and initiated the healing process. Patients treated with enoxaparin 60 mg for 3 mo were divided into 2 groups one with 16 patients (25 hips)
with thrombophilic-hypofibrinolytic-induced primary osteonecrosis and the other one with 12 patients (15 hips) with alcohol or steroid-induced secondary osteonecrosis. It was reported that 12% of patients with primary osteonecrosis had a femoral head collapse; still, enoxaparin did not inhibit further progression of the disease. Moreover, after the trial, it was also concluded that out of 28, there were 6 patients with familial thrombophilia, in whom the disease did not progress for 4 to 16 y after long-term treatment with oral anticoagulants. Similarly Chotanaphuti et al. documented 36 patients with osteonecrosis of the femoral head at an early stage. The total number of patients were divided into two groups; both with eighteen patients each (26 hips). One of the groups received a daily dosage of 6000 units of enoxaparin for 12 w, while the other one did not take any medicines. In the study group, 57.7% of patients remained in the pre-collapse stage after 2 y of minimum follow-up. While on the other hand, in the control group 21.7% of patients remained in the pre-collapsed stage and the p-value found to be 0.042. However, it can be seen that there were no serious harmful effects along with bleeding seen in this study. It should also be made clear from a study that the balance between bleeding risk and the benefit of osteonecrosis should remain significant.

Glucocorticoids increase the risk of osteonecrosis by increasing fat content in the bone marrow. Cardiovascular risk associated with lipid-lowering agents was decreased by the use of Statins. It was also confirmed from studies that statins showed a protective effect against osteonecrosis in steroid users. Pritchett reported that there were 284 patients treated with high dose steroids and followed for a period of 5 y. The results indicated that during the time period of 5 y, only 1% of patients developed osteonecrosis. The trend of generating osteonecrosis was a lot less than the previous incidence, which showed a value of 3 to 20% in patients receiving high-dose steroids. Thus statins were incorporated alendronate in one group and took others as a control group. The treatment period duration was of 25 w and the treatment protocol was a dose of 70 mg of oral alendronate every week. After 2 y of follow-up treatment with alendronate, 2 out of 29 femoral heads have collapsed. While on the other hand, in the control group 19 out of 25 femoral heads collapsed. Out of all the above data, one hip in the alendronate group under-went the THA while in the control group 16 hips underwent this surgery.

Agarwala et al. reported a trial on 60 patients (100 osteonecrosis hips) by treating them with alendronate with a dose of 10 mg/d in combination with 500 to 1,000 mg of daily calcium and vitamin D supplements. It was evident from increased walking time and from pain relief that this combination has a significant effect. The hip movement gradually improved during 1 y of treatment and then the process slowed down. After radiographic investigation it was observed that the disease advanced to grade 1 and then stabilized. From MRI it was noticed that marrow edema was minimal in most cases. Out of them, only 6 patients needed to go for surgery. Nishii et al. also documented the effect of alendronate on femoral head necrosis. The results reported were quite similar as the earlier studies with 13 hips out of 60 hips collapsed in the control group and 1 out of 20 hips collapsed in the alendronate-treated group.

However, it was found out later that alendronate does not play any role in reducing disease process or prevent surgery such as THA. The prospective, randomized and double-blind placebo-controlled study reported by Chen et al. involved 64 patients and 2 y to complete the study. Out of the 64 patients with osteonecrosis...
enrolled, 52 patients were assessed for final analysis. It was found that 4 out of 32 hips in the alendronate group were undergone THA while 5 out of 33 hips in the placebo control group underwent THA. This difference in disease progression was considered as minimal showed 36 scores between these 2 groups.

Another 2 y study associated with early-stage osteonecrosis having a necrotic area (>30 %) was reported by Lee et al. The randomized, open-label trial started with 110 patients to find out the effect of zoledronate in the prevention of collapse and reduction in the incidence of THA. Out of 110, 55 patients were treated with zoledronate with dose of 5 mg intravenously per year for 2 y while the remaining 55 patients in the control group were not treated with zoledronate. After a 2 y follow-up it was observed that 29 femoral heads in the zoledronate group were collapsed while 22 femoral heads in the control group were collapsed. Moreover, 19 hips in the zoledronate-treated group underwent THA while 20 hips in the control group underwent THA. Thus from this study, it was clear that zoledronate did not prevent femoral head collapse nor reduce the necessity of surgery such as THA.

The bisphosphonate potency was determined through 2 meta-analyses. Firstly, Yuan et al. identified 5 eligible trials in one meta-analysis. The trials comprised 329 subjects in which with 920.9 patient-y of follow-up, it was found that bisphosphonates neither prevent femoral head collapse nor prevent the necessity of THA. The Haris hip score was not improved by bisphosphonates. Li et al. estimated the efficacy of bisphosphonates in a study on 16 animal and 7 human trials. The results documented from animal studies showed that bisphosphonates improved bone remodelling, although it was established previously that bisphosphonates did not play a role in hip pain management, femoral head collapse, necessity for THA or Harris hip score. The adverse effects of bisphosphonates found were, esophageal or gastric irritation while osteonecrosis of the jaw and insufficiency fractures of the femur were also reported. Hence bisphosphonates are not usually prescribed in patients with FHO because of their unclear protective effect and associated adverse effects.

Iloprost is a synthetic analog of prostacyclin PG12. It showed activity as a dilator of systemic and pulmonary vascular beds. There has been clinical evidence for its use in the treatment of osteonecrosis. Jager et al. reported in a trial comprised of 95 patients (186 bones) with the disease of bone marrow edema or osteonecrosis, after a 1 y and 8 mo of follow-up treatment it was found that the pain was relieved and functional mobility increased. The iloprost-treated joints were further documented by Claben et al. The trial reported treatment of 108 patients in which 136 osteonecrosis joints were considered for treatment. The follow-up time for these patients was set at 50 mo, after treatment with iloprost and 74.8 % of patients showed decreased visual analog scale pain scores. Though 20 % of them underwent total joint arthroplasty as they were associated with the Association Research Circulation Osseous (ARCO) stage 2, 71 % with ARCO stage 3 and 100 % with ARCO stage 4. The heterogeneous patient population along with the un-estimated size of the necrotic portion was considered as the major limitation, which proceeded it to collapse.

Iloprost has many adverse effects most common of those were, hypotension, flushing, cough, headache, flu syndrome, nausea, vomiting, hypotension, insomnia and palpitation. While congestive heart failure, supraventricular tachycardia, and renal failure are serious adverse effects associated with iloprost. Although Jager et al. and Claben et al. collectively found out that iloprost has a significant effect on bone marrow edema syndrome and early-stage osteonecrosis. As described previously that bone marrow edema syndrome and ischemic lesion did not definitely develop osteonecrosis. Still, additional research is required on the safety and effect of iloprost.

Aspirin is also known as acetylsalicylic acid which binds to and acetylates serine in cyclooxygenases and thus inhibited the synthesis of prostaglandins. It works by inhibiting aggregation of platelets and prevent arterial and venous thrombosis, reduce pain and inflammation. Albers et al. in a trial with 10 patients (12 hips) suffering from pre-collapsed FHO gave a daily dose of 81 mg of aspirin and fund that femoral head collapse was seen in only 1 patient (8 %) after a 3.7 y of follow-up treatment. Though this study involved only 10 patients in which 9 of the patients had type B or C1 lesions according to the Japanese Investigation Committee, these lesions are further at risk of collapse.

After each trial the efficacy of pharmacological treatment should be monitored cautiously. For this purpose, the pain intensity in the early stage and the zone of the necrotic portion should be evaluated. When osteonecrosis starts after an acute ischemic attack marrow edema started to develop around the necrotic portion of the femoral head. Additionally, the patients...
suffer from severe pain even before collapse occurs. As the edema resolves, the pain reduces, with or without any treatment. The sudden resting pain might be caused by the use of medications. The collapse of the femoral head is not seen in small lesions without any medical or surgical intervention. With time many new medical interventions have been introduced. Though these methods really prevent the disease from progression or reverses to its normal stage is still unclear. Considering a lot of risks there is no clear evidence for pharmacological prevention or treatment of osteonecrosis recommended at this moment.
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